

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 3, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 1-2217

The Coca-Cola Company

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

58-0628465
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

One Coca-Cola Plaza
Atlanta, Georgia
(Address of principal executive offices)

30313
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (404) 676-2121

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

Class of Common Stock
\$0.25 Par Value

Outstanding at July 24, 2009
2,317,441,658 Shares

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Table of Contents

| | <i>Page Number</i> |
|---|--------------------|
| <u><i>Forward-Looking Statements</i></u> | 3 |
| <u><i>Part I. Financial Information</i></u> | |
| <i>Item 1.</i> <u><i>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</i></u> | 4 |
| <u><i>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</i></u> <u><i>Three and six months ended July 3, 2009, and June 27, 2008</i></u> | 4 |
| <u><i>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</i></u> <u><i>July 3, 2009, and December 31, 2008</i></u> | 5 |
| <u><i>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</i></u> <u><i>Six months ended July 3, 2009, and June 27, 2008</i></u> | 6 |
| <u><i>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</i></u> | 7 |
| <i>Item 2.</i> <u><i>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</i></u> | 33 |
| <i>Item 3.</i> <u><i>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</i></u> | 52 |
| <i>Item 4.</i> <u><i>Controls and Procedures</i></u> | 52 |
| <u><i>Part II. Other Information</i></u> | |
| <i>Item 1.</i> <u><i>Legal Proceedings</i></u> | 52 |
| <i>Item 1A.</i> <u><i>Risk Factors</i></u> | 52 |
| <i>Item 2.</i> <u><i>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</i></u> | 53 |
| <i>Item 4.</i> <u><i>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</i></u> | 54 |
| <i>Item 6.</i> <u><i>Exhibits</i></u> | 55 |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains information that may constitute "forward-looking statements." Generally, the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "will" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not historical in nature. All statements that address operating performance, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future — including statements relating to volume growth, share of sales and earnings per share growth, and statements expressing general views about future operating results — are forward-looking statements. Management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as and when made. However, caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements because such statements speak only as of the date when made. Our Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from our Company's historical experience and our present expectations or projections. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Part II, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

(In millions except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| NET OPERATING REVENUES | \$ 8,267 | \$ 9,046 | \$ 15,436 | \$ 16,425 |
| Cost of goods sold | 2,913 | 3,162 | 5,503 | 5,786 |
| GROSS PROFIT | 5,354 | 5,884 | 9,933 | 10,639 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 2,844 | 3,095 | 5,468 | 5,891 |
| Other operating charges | 72 | 110 | 164 | 195 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 2,438 | 2,679 | 4,301 | 4,553 |
| Interest income | 57 | 69 | 117 | 134 |
| Interest expense | 97 | 89 | 182 | 206 |
| Equity income (loss) — net | 310 | (843) | 327 | (706) |
| Other income (loss) — net | 20 | 101 | (20) | 101 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 2,728 | 1,917 | 4,543 | 3,876 |
| Income taxes | 679 | 474 | 1,135 | 922 |
| CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME | 2,049 | 1,443 | 3,408 | 2,954 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 12 | 21 | 23 | 32 |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO SHAREOWNERS OF THE COCA-COLA COMPANY | \$ 2,037 | \$ 1,422 | \$ 3,385 | \$ 2,922 |
| BASIC NET INCOME PER SHARE¹ | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.26 |
| DILUTED NET INCOME PER SHARE¹ | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.24 |
| DIVIDENDS PER SHARE | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.82 | \$ 0.76 |
| AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING | 2,313 | 2,316 | 2,313 | 2,319 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | 10 | 27 | 6 | 30 |
| AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING ASSUMING DILUTION | 2,323 | 2,343 | 2,319 | 2,349 |

¹ Basic net income per share and diluted net income per share are calculated based on net income attributable to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company.

Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(UNAUDITED)

(In millions except par value)

| | July 3, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 7,647 | \$ 4,701 |
| Marketable securities | 298 | 278 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowances of \$58 and \$51, respectively | 3,746 | 3,090 |
| Inventories | 2,483 | 2,187 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 2,198 | 1,920 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS | 16,372 | 12,176 |
| EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS | 5,804 | 5,316 |
| OTHER INVESTMENTS, PRINCIPALLY BOTTLING COMPANIES | 488 | 463 |
| OTHER ASSETS | 1,859 | 1,733 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, less accumulated depreciation of \$6,570 and \$6,074, respectively | 8,904 | 8,326 |
| TRADEMARKS WITH INDEFINITE LIVES | 6,143 | 6,059 |
| GOODWILL | 4,092 | 4,029 |
| OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS | 2,392 | 2,417 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 46,054 | \$ 40,519 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 6,356 | \$ 6,205 |
| Loans and notes payable | 6,382 | 6,066 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 49 | 465 |
| Accrued income taxes | 780 | 252 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | 13,567 | 12,988 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 5,017 | 2,781 |
| OTHER LIABILITIES | 3,051 | 3,011 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | 902 | 877 |
| THE COCA-COLA COMPANY SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, \$0.25 par value; Authorized — 5,600 shares; Issued — 3,520 and 3,519 shares, respectively | 880 | 880 |
| Capital surplus | 8,111 | 7,966 |
| Reinvested earnings | 39,999 | 38,513 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,740) | (2,674) |
| Treasury stock, at cost — 1,205 and 1,207 shares, respectively | (24,174) | (24,213) |
| EQUITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO SHAREOWNERS OF THE COCA-COLA COMPANY | 23,076 | 20,472 |
| EQUITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS | 441 | 390 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | 23,517 | 20,862 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | \$ 46,054 | \$ 40,519 |

Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)
(In millions)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 3,408 | \$ 2,954 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 585 | 637 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 107 | 152 |
| Deferred income taxes | (83) | (222) |
| Equity income or loss, net of dividends | (191) | 856 |
| Foreign currency adjustments | 1 | (43) |
| Gains on sales of assets, including bottling interests | (15) | (111) |
| Other operating charges | 106 | 159 |
| Other items | 141 | 34 |
| Net change in operating assets and liabilities | (397) | (1,198) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,662 | 3,218 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Acquisitions and investments, principally beverage and bottling companies and trademarks | (248) | (621) |
| Purchases of other investments | (17) | (140) |
| Proceeds from disposals of bottling companies and other investments | 45 | 387 |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (980) | (896) |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment | 32 | 46 |
| Other investing activities | 4 | (10) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,164) | (1,234) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Issuances of debt | 8,058 | 4,317 |
| Payments of debt | (6,087) | (2,478) |
| Issuances of stock | 74 | 459 |
| Purchases of stock for treasury | (4) | (1,031) |
| Dividends | (1,899) | (884) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 142 | 383 |
| EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | |
| | 306 | 111 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | |
| Net increase during the period | 2,946 | 2,478 |
| Balance at beginning of period | 4,701 | 4,093 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 7,647 | \$ 6,571 |

Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

Note A — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. However, except as disclosed herein, there has been no material change in the information disclosed in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of The Coca-Cola Company for the year ended December 31, 2008.

When used in these notes, the terms "Company," "we," "us" or "our" mean The Coca-Cola Company and all entities included in our consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009. Sales of our ready-to-drink nonalcoholic beverages are somewhat seasonal, with the second and third calendar quarters accounting for the highest sales volumes. The volume of sales in the beverage business may be affected by weather conditions.

Each of our interim reporting periods, other than the fourth interim reporting period, ends on the Friday closest to the last day of the corresponding quarterly calendar period. The first quarter of 2009 had five additional days compared to the first quarter of 2008. However, the reporting period for the three months ended July 3, 2009, had the same number of days compared to the three months ended June 27, 2008. Consequently, the reporting period for the six months ended July 3, 2009, had five additional days compared to the six months ended June 27, 2008. Our fourth interim reporting period and our fiscal year end on December 31 regardless of the day of the week on which December 31 falls.

Subsequent Events

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the time of filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on July 30, 2009. We are not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Recent Accounting Standards and Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)." SFAS No. 167, among other things, requires a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis to determine the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE"); requires continuous assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE; enhances disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with a VIE; and amends certain guidance for determining whether an entity is a VIE. SFAS No. 167 will be effective for our Company on January 1, 2010, and will be applied prospectively. Under SFAS No. 167, a VIE must be consolidated if the enterprise has both (a) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, and (b) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The Company is evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 167 will have on our

consolidated financial statements. Based on our initial assessment, we anticipate that certain entities currently consolidated under the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" may not be consolidated subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 167. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 167 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events." SFAS No. 165 establishes authoritative accounting and disclosure guidance for recognized and non-recognized subsequent events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. SFAS No. 165 also requires disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. SFAS No. 165 was effective for our Company beginning with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, and will be applied prospectively. The adoption of SFAS No. 165 had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133." SFAS No. 161 requires expanded qualitative and quantitative disclosures about derivatives and hedging activities in each interim and annual period. SFAS No. 161 was effective for our Company on January 1, 2009, and is being applied prospectively. The adoption of SFAS No. 161 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note G.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations." SFAS No. 141(R) amends the principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS No. 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) was effective for our Company on January 1, 2009, and the Company will apply SFAS No. 141(R) prospectively to all business combinations subsequent to the effective date. The adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements, and the impact that its adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements in future periods will depend on the nature and size of business combinations completed subsequent to the date of adoption.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51." SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) in subsidiaries. SFAS No. 160 requires that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary be accounted for as a component of equity separate from the parent's equity, rather than as a liability. SFAS No. 160 was effective for our Company on January 1, 2009, and is being applied prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which have been applied retrospectively. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note D and Note E.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 07-1, "Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements." EITF 07-1 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes reporting requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. It also establishes the appropriate income statement presentation and classification for joint operating activities and payments between participants, as well as the sufficiency of the disclosures related to these arrangements. EITF 07-1 was

effective for our Company on January 1, 2009. The adoption of EITF 07-1 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 was effective for our Company on January 1, 2008. However, in February 2008, the FASB released FASB Staff Position ("FSP") FAS 157-2 "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157," which delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). SFAS No. 157 became effective for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 for our nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note H.

Note B — Inventories

The following table summarizes our inventory balances (in millions):

| | July 3, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Raw materials and packaging | \$ 1,375 | \$ 1,191 |
| Finished goods | 778 | 706 |
| Other | 330 | 290 |
| Total inventories | \$ 2,483 | \$ 2,187 |

Note C — Commitments and Contingencies

As of July 3, 2009, we were contingently liable for guarantees of indebtedness owed by third parties in the amount of approximately \$247 million. These guarantees primarily are related to third-party customers, bottlers and vendors and have arisen through the normal course of business. These guarantees have various terms, and none of these guarantees was individually significant. The amount represents the maximum potential future payments that we could be required to make under the guarantees; however, we do not consider it probable that we will be required to satisfy these guarantees.

On September 3, 2008, we announced our intention to make cash offers to purchase China Huiyuan Juice Group Limited, a Hong Kong listed company which owns the Huiyuan juice business throughout China ("Huiyuan"). The Company had accepted irrevocable undertakings from three shareholders for acceptance of the offers, in aggregate representing approximately 66 percent of the Huiyuan shares. The making of the offers was subject to preconditions relating to Chinese regulatory approvals. On March 18, 2009, the Chinese Ministry of Commerce declined approval for the Company's proposed purchase of Huiyuan. Consequently, the Company was unable to proceed with the proposed cash offers, and the irrevocable undertakings terminated.

We believe our exposure to concentrations of credit risk is limited due to the diverse geographic areas covered by our operations.

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings. We establish reserves for specific legal proceedings when we determine that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management has also identified certain other legal matters

where we believe an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible and/or for which no estimate of possible losses can be made. Management believes that any liability to the Company that may arise as a result of currently pending legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company taken as a whole.

During the period from 1970 to 1981, our Company owned Aqua-Chem, Inc., now known as Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. ("Aqua-Chem"). A division of Aqua-Chem manufactured certain boilers that contained gaskets that Aqua-Chem purchased from outside suppliers. Several years after our Company sold this entity, Aqua-Chem received its first lawsuit relating to asbestos, a component of some of the gaskets. In September 2002, Aqua-Chem notified our Company that it believed we were obligated for certain costs and expenses associated with its asbestos litigations. Aqua-Chem demanded that our Company reimburse it for approximately \$10 million for out-of-pocket litigation-related expenses. Aqua-Chem also demanded that the Company acknowledge a continuing obligation to Aqua-Chem for any future liabilities and expenses that are excluded from coverage under the applicable insurance or for which there is no insurance. Our Company disputes Aqua-Chem's claims, and we believe we have no obligation to Aqua-Chem for any of its past, present or future liabilities, costs or expenses. Furthermore, we believe we have substantial legal and factual defenses to Aqua-Chem's claims. The parties entered into litigation in Georgia to resolve this dispute, which was stayed by agreement of the parties pending the outcome of litigation filed in Wisconsin by certain insurers of Aqua-Chem. In that case, five plaintiff insurance companies filed a declaratory judgment action against Aqua-Chem, the Company and 16 defendant insurance companies seeking a determination of the parties' rights and liabilities under policies issued by the insurers and reimbursement for amounts paid by plaintiffs in excess of their obligations. During the course of the Wisconsin coverage litigation, Aqua-Chem and the Company reached settlements with several of the insurers, including plaintiffs, who have or will pay funds into an escrow account for payment of costs arising from the asbestos claims against Aqua-Chem. On July 24, 2007, the Wisconsin trial court entered a final declaratory judgment regarding the rights and obligations of the parties under the insurance policies issued by the remaining defendant insurers, which judgment was not appealed. The judgment directs, among other things, that each insurer whose policy is triggered is jointly and severally liable for 100 percent of Aqua-Chem's losses up to policy limits. The Georgia litigation remains subject to the stay agreement.

At the time we acquire or divest our interest in an entity, we sometimes agree to indemnify the seller or buyer for specific contingent liabilities. Management believes that any liability to the Company that may arise as a result of any such indemnification agreements will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company taken as a whole.

The Company is involved in various tax matters, with respect to some of which the outcome is uncertain. We establish reserves to remove some or all of the tax benefit of any of our tax positions at the time we determine that it becomes uncertain based upon one of the following conditions: (1) the tax position is not "more likely than not" to be sustained, (2) the tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained, but for a lesser amount, or (3) the tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained, but not in the financial period in which the tax position was originally taken. For purposes of evaluating whether or not a tax position is uncertain, (1) we presume the tax position will be examined by the relevant taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information; (2) the technical merits of a tax position are derived from authorities such as legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings and case law and their applicability to the facts and circumstances of the tax position; and (3) each tax position is evaluated without consideration of the possibility of offset or aggregation with other tax positions taken. A number of years may elapse before a particular uncertain

tax position is audited and finally resolved or when a tax assessment is raised. The number of years subject to tax assessments varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. The tax benefit that has been previously reserved because of a failure to meet the "more likely than not" recognition threshold would be recognized in our income tax expense in the first interim period when the uncertainty disappears under any one of the following conditions: (1) the tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained, (2) the tax position, amount, and/or timing is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation, or (3) the statute of limitations for the tax position has expired. Refer to Note L.

Note D — Comprehensive Income

The following table provides a summary of total comprehensive income, including our proportionate share of equity method investees' accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI"), for the applicable periods (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 2,049 | \$ 1,443 | \$ 3,408 | \$ 2,954 |
| Other comprehensive income ("OCI"): | | | | |
| Net foreign currency translation gain (loss) | 1,194 | 152 | 899 | 816 |
| Net gain (loss) on derivatives | (48) | 37 | 21 | (92) |
| Net change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities | 49 | (22) | 60 | (23) |
| Net change in pension liability | (5) | (14) | (13) | 25 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 3,239 | \$ 1,596 | \$ 4,375 | \$ 3,680 |

The following table summarizes the allocation of total comprehensive income, including our proportionate share of equity method investees' AOCI, between shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company and the noncontrolling interests (in millions):

| | Six Months Ended July 3, 2009 | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| | Shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company | Noncontrolling Interests | Total |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 3,385 | \$ 23 | \$ 3,408 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net foreign currency translation gain (loss) | 866 | 33 | 899 |
| Net gain (loss) on derivatives | 21 | — | 21 |
| Net change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities | 60 | — | 60 |
| Net change in pension liability | (13) | — | (13) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 4,319 | \$ 56 | \$ 4,375 |

Note E — Changes in Equity

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and the ending carrying amounts of total equity, equity attributable to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company and equity attributable to the noncontrolling interests (in millions):

| | Shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company | | | | | | Non-controlling Interests |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | Total | Reinvested Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Common Stock | Capital Surplus | Treasury Stock | |
| January 1, 2009 | \$ 20,862 | \$ 38,513 | \$ (2,674) | \$ 880 | \$ 7,966 | \$ (24,213) | \$ 390 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) ¹ | 4,375 | 3,385 | 934 | — | — | — | 56 |
| Dividends paid to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company | (1,899) | (1,899) | — | — | — | — | — |
| Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests | (9) | — | — | — | — | — | (9) |
| Contributions by noncontrolling interests | 4 | — | — | — | — | — | 4 |
| Impact of employee stock option and restricted stock plans | 184 | — | — | — | 145 | 39 | — |
| July 3, 2009 | \$ 23,517 | \$ 39,999 | \$ (1,740) | \$ 880 | \$ 8,111 | \$ (24,174) | \$ 441 |

¹ The allocation of the individual components of comprehensive income attributable to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company and the noncontrolling interests is disclosed in Note D.

Note F — Financial Instruments

Certain Debt and Marketable Equity Securities

Investments in debt and marketable equity securities, other than investments accounted for by the equity method, are categorized as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. Our marketable equity investments are categorized as trading or available-for-sale with their cost basis determined by the specific identification method. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities and realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in net income. Unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred taxes, on available-for-sale securities are included in our consolidated balance sheets as a component of AOCI. Debt securities categorized as held-to-maturity are stated at amortized cost.

As of July 3, 2009, and December 31, 2008, our trading securities had a fair value of approximately \$46 million and \$49 million, respectively. Trading securities are carried at fair value in the line item marketable securities in our consolidated balance sheets. The Company had net unrealized gains on trading securities of approximately \$5 million and \$2 million for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, respectively. The Company had net unrealized losses on trading securities of approximately \$3 million and \$8 million for the three and six months ended June 27, 2008, respectively.

As of July 3, 2009, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities consisted of the following (in millions):

| | Cost | Gross Unrealized | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|--------|------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| Available-for-sale securities: ¹ | | | | |
| Equity securities | \$ 328 | \$ 268 | \$ (6) | \$ 590 |
| Other securities | 12 | — | (4) | 8 |
| | \$ 340 | \$ 268 | \$ (10) | \$ 598 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Bank and corporate debt | \$ 210 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 210 |

¹ The fair value of available-for-sale securities included in the table above was determined in accordance with SFAS No. 157. Refer to Note H.

As of December 31, 2008, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities consisted of the following (in millions):

| | Cost | Gross Unrealized | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|--------|------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| Available-for-sale securities: ¹ | | | | |
| Equity securities | \$ 329 | \$ 193 | \$ (7) | \$ 515 |
| Other securities | 12 | — | (5) | 7 |
| | \$ 341 | \$ 193 | \$ (12) | \$ 522 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Bank and corporate debt | \$ 74 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 74 |

¹ The fair value of available-for-sale securities included in the table above was determined in accordance with SFAS No. 157. Refer to Note H.

The Company's available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities were included in the following captions in our consolidated balance sheets (in millions):

| | July 3, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Available- for-Sale Securities | Held-to- Maturity Securities | Available- for-Sale Securities | Held-to- Maturity Securities |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ — | \$ 209 | \$ — | \$ 73 |
| Marketable securities | 250 | 1 | 228 | 1 |
| Other investments, principally bottling companies | 340 | — | 287 | — |
| Other assets | 8 | — | 7 | — |
| | \$ 598 | \$ 210 | \$ 522 | \$ 74 |

The contractual maturities of these investments as of July 3, 2009, were as follows (in millions):

| | Available-for-Sale | | Held-to-Maturity | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Securities | | Securities | |
| | Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Within 1 year | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 210 | \$ 210 |
| After 1 year through 5 years | — | — | — | — |
| After 5 years through 10 years | 2 | 1 | — | — |
| After 10 years | 10 | 7 | — | — |
| Equity securities | 328 | 590 | — | — |
| | \$ 340 | \$ 598 | \$ 210 | \$ 210 |

Gross realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were zero for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, and for the three and six months ended June 27, 2008.

Other Fair Value Disclosures

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and loans and notes payable approximate their fair values because of the relatively short-term maturity of these instruments.

The following table presents the difference between calculated fair values, based on quoted closing prices of publicly traded shares, and our Company's cost basis in publicly traded bottlers accounted for as equity method investments (in millions):

| July 3, 2009 | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Difference |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|
| Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. ¹ | \$ 2,822 | \$ — | \$ 2,822 |
| Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V. | 2,480 | 952 | 1,528 |
| Coca-Cola Hellenic Bottling Company S.A. | 1,749 | 1,491 | 258 |
| Coca-Cola Amatil Limited | 1,567 | 744 | 823 |
| Grupo Continental, S.A.B. | 283 | 152 | 131 |
| Coca-Cola Icecek A.S. | 297 | 126 | 171 |
| Coca-Cola Embonor S.A. | 270 | 193 | 77 |
| Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated | 136 | 69 | 67 |
| Embotelladoras Coca-Cola Polar S.A. | 94 | 72 | 22 |
| Total | \$ 9,698 | \$ 3,799 | \$ 5,899 |

¹ The carrying value of our investment in Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. ("CCE") was reduced to zero as of December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of recording our proportionate share of impairment charges and items impacting AOCI recorded by CCE during 2008.

We carry our cost method investments at cost. If a decline in the fair value of a cost method investment is determined to be other than temporary, an impairment charge is recorded and the fair value becomes the new cost basis of the investment. We periodically review all of our cost method investments to determine if impairment indicators are present; however, we are not required to determine the fair value of these investments unless impairment indicators exist. When impairment indicators exist, we generally use discounted cash flow analyses to determine the fair value. We estimate that the fair values of our cost method investments approximated their carrying values as of July 3,

2009, and December 31, 2008. Our cost method investments had a carrying value of approximately \$148 million and \$176 million as of July 3, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a charge of approximately \$27 million in other income (loss) — net, as a result of an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of a cost method investment. Refer to Note K for additional information related to this impairment.

We recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets, with fair values estimated based on quoted market prices or pricing models using current market rates. Virtually all of our derivatives are straightforward, over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets. For further discussion of our derivatives, including a disclosure of derivative fair values, refer to Note G.

The fair value of our long-term debt is estimated based on quoted prices for those or similar instruments. As of July 3, 2009, the carrying amount and fair value of our long-term debt, including the current portion, were approximately \$5,066 million and \$5,274 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, the carrying amount and fair value of our long-term debt, including the current portion, were approximately \$3,246 million and \$3,402 million, respectively. Refer to Note I.

Note G — Hedging Transactions and Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is directly and indirectly affected by changes in certain market conditions. These changes in market conditions may adversely impact the Company's financial performance and are referred to as market risks. Our Company, when deemed appropriate, uses derivatives as a risk management tool to mitigate the potential impact of certain market risks. The primary market risks managed by the Company through the use of derivative instruments are foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk and interest rate risk. The Company accounts for derivative financial instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"; SFAS No. 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133"; and SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

The Company uses various types of derivative instruments including, but not limited to, forward contracts, commodity futures contracts, option contracts, collars and swaps. Forward contracts and commodity futures contracts are agreements to buy or sell a quantity of a currency or commodity at a predetermined future date, and at a predetermined rate or price. An option contract is an agreement that conveys the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a quantity of a currency or commodity at a predetermined rate or price during a period or at a time in the future. A collar is a strategy that uses a combination of options to limit the range of possible positive or negative returns on an underlying asset or liability to a specific range, or to protect expected future cash flows. To do this, an investor simultaneously buys a put option and sells (writes) a call option. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets and/or indices. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

All derivatives are carried at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets in the line items prepaid expenses and other assets or accounts payable and accrued expenses, as applicable. The carrying values of the derivatives reflect the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. These master netting agreements allow the Company to net settle positive and negative positions (assets and liabilities) arising from different transactions with the same counterparty.

The accounting for gains and losses that result from changes in the fair values of derivative instruments depends on whether the derivatives have been designated and qualify as hedging instruments in accordance with the accounting provisions of SFAS No. 133 and the type of hedging relationships. Derivatives can be designated as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. The Company does not typically designate derivatives as fair value hedges. The change in fair values of derivatives that have been designated and qualify as cash flow hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations are recorded in AOCI and are reclassified into the line item in the consolidated income statement in which the hedged items are recorded in the same period the hedged items affect earnings. Due to the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instruments and the underlying exposures being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the fair values or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. The changes in fair values of derivatives that were not designated and/or did not qualify as hedging instruments are immediately recognized into earnings.

For derivatives that will be accounted for as hedging instruments in accordance with the accounting provisions of SFAS No. 133, the Company formally designates and documents, at inception, the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, the risk management objective and the strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction. In addition, the Company formally assesses, both at the inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the financial instruments used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in either the fair values or cash flows of the related underlying exposures. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized into earnings.

The fair values of our derivative instruments are determined in accordance with SFAS No. 157. The Company estimates the fair values of its derivatives based on quoted market prices or pricing models using current market rates. Refer to Note H. The notional amounts of the derivative financial instruments do not necessarily represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a direct measure of our exposure to the financial risks described above. The amounts exchanged are calculated by reference to the notional amounts and by other terms of the derivatives, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates or other financial indices. The Company does not view the fair values of its derivatives in isolation, but rather in relation to the fair values or cash flows of the underlying hedged transactions or other exposures. Virtually all of our derivatives are straightforward over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets.

Credit Risk Associated with Derivatives

We have established strict counterparty credit guidelines and enter into transactions only with financial institutions of investment grade or better. We monitor counterparty exposures regularly and review any downgrade in credit rating immediately. If a downgrade in the credit rating of a counterparty were to occur, we have provisions requiring collateral in the form of U.S. government securities for substantially all of our transactions. To mitigate presettlement risk, minimum credit standards become more stringent as the duration of the derivative financial instrument increases. In addition, the Company's master netting agreements reduce credit risk by permitting the Company to net settle for transactions with the same counterparty. To minimize the concentration of credit risk, we enter into derivative transactions with a portfolio of financial institutions. Based on these factors, we consider the risk of counterparty default to be minimal.

Cash Flow Hedging Strategy

The Company uses cash flow hedges to minimize the variability in cash flows of assets or liabilities or forecasted transactions caused by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices or interest rates. The changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in AOCI and are reclassified into the line item in the consolidated income statement in which the hedged items are recorded in the same period the hedged items affect earnings. The changes in fair values of hedges that were determined to be ineffective are immediately reclassified from AOCI into earnings. The Company did not discontinue any cash flow hedging relationships during the first six months of 2009. The maximum length of time over which the Company hedges its exposure to future cash flows is typically three years.

The Company maintains a foreign currency cash flow hedging program to reduce the risk that our eventual U.S. dollar net cash inflows from sales outside the United States and U.S. dollar net cash outflows from procurement activities will be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We enter into forward contracts and purchase foreign currency options (principally euros and Japanese yen) and collars to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in foreign currencies. When the dollar strengthens significantly against the foreign currencies, the decline in the present value of future foreign currency cash flows is partially offset by gains in the fair value of the derivative instruments. Conversely, when the dollar weakens, the increase in the present value of future foreign currency cash flows is partially offset by losses in the fair value of the derivative instruments. The total notional value of derivatives that have been designated and qualify for the Company's foreign currency cash flow hedging program as of July 3, 2009, was approximately \$2,882 million.

The Company has entered into commodity futures contracts and other derivative instruments on various commodities to mitigate the price risk associated with forecasted purchases of materials used in our manufacturing process. The derivative instruments have been designated and qualify as part of the Company's commodity cash flow hedging program. The objective of this hedging program is to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with future purchases of certain commodities. The total notional value of derivatives that have been designated and qualify under this program as of July 3, 2009, was approximately \$60 million.

Our Company monitors our mix of short-term debt and long-term debt. From time to time, we manage our risk to interest rate fluctuations through the use of derivative financial instruments. The Company had no outstanding derivative instruments under this hedging program as of July 3, 2009.

Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations Strategy

The Company uses forward contracts to protect the value of our investments in a number of foreign subsidiaries. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedges of net investments in foreign operations, the changes in fair values of the derivative instruments are recognized in foreign currency translation adjustment, a component of AOCI, to offset the changes in the values of the net investments being hedged. Any ineffective portions of net investment hedges are reclassified from AOCI into earnings during the period of change. The total notional value of derivatives that have been designated and qualify as hedges of net investments in foreign operations as of July 3, 2009, was approximately \$130 million.

Economic Hedging Strategy

In addition to derivative instruments that are designated and qualify for hedge accounting, the Company also uses certain derivatives as economic hedges. Although these derivatives were not designated and/or did not qualify for hedge accounting in accordance with the accounting provisions of SFAS No. 133, they are effective economic hedges. The Company primarily uses economic hedges to offset the earnings impact that fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have on certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional currencies. The changes in fair values of these economic hedges are immediately recognized into earnings in the line item other income (loss) — net. The total notional value of our economic hedges of this type as of July 3, 2009, was approximately \$1,506 million. The Company's other economic hedges are not significant to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents the fair values of the Company's derivative instruments that were designated and qualified as part of a hedging relationship as of July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Balance Sheet Location ¹ | Fair Value ^{1,2} |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | Prepaid expenses and other assets | \$ 39 |
| Total assets | | \$ 39 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 31 |
| Commodity futures | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 24 |
| Total liabilities | | \$ 55 |

¹ All of the Company's derivative instruments are carried at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets after considering the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. SFAS No. 161 requires the fair value of all derivatives to be disclosed without reflecting the impact of master netting agreements and cash collateral. Refer to Note H for the net presentation of the Company's derivative instruments.

² The fair value has been determined in accordance with SFAS No. 157. Refer to Note H.

The following table presents the fair values of the Company's derivative instruments that were not designated as hedging instruments as of July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Balance Sheet Location ¹ | Fair Value ^{1,2} |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | Prepaid expenses and other assets | \$ 37 |
| Commodity futures | Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3 |
| Other derivative instruments | Prepaid expenses and other assets | 2 |
| Total assets | | \$ 42 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 14 |
| Total liabilities | | \$ 14 |

¹ All of the Company's derivative instruments are carried at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets after considering the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. SFAS No. 161 requires the fair value of all derivatives to be disclosed without reflecting the impact of master netting agreements and cash collateral. Refer to Note H for the net presentation of the Company's derivative instruments.

² The fair value has been determined in accordance with SFAS No. 157. Refer to Note H.

The following tables present the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as hedging instruments had on AOCI and earnings during the three months ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Ineffective Portion) | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Ineffective Portion) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Cash Flow Hedges | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ (113) | Net operating revenues | \$ (37) | Net operating revenues | \$ — |
| Interest rate locks | — | Interest expense | (3) | Interest expense | — |
| Commodity futures | (1) | Cost of goods sold | (16) | Cost of goods sold | — |
| Total | \$ (114) | | \$ (56) | | \$ — |
| Net Investment Hedges | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ (1) | Other income (loss) — net | \$ — | Other income (loss) — net | \$ — |
| Total | \$ (1) | | \$ — | | \$ — |

The following tables present the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as hedging instruments had on AOCI and earnings during the six months ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Ineffective Portion) | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Ineffective Portion) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Cash Flow Hedges | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ — | Net operating revenues | \$ (17) | Net operating revenues | \$ — ¹ |
| Interest rate locks | — | Interest expense | (4) | Interest expense | (4) |
| Commodity futures | (9) | Cost of goods sold | (30) | Cost of goods sold | — |
| Total | \$ (9) | | \$ (51) | | \$ (4) |
| Net Investment Hedges | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ (1) | Other income (loss) — net | \$ — | Other income (loss) — net | \$ — |
| Total | \$ (1) | | \$ — | | \$ — |

¹ Includes a de minimus amount of ineffectiveness in the hedging relationship.

As of July 3, 2009, the Company estimates that it will reclassify into earnings during the next 12 months losses of approximately \$51 million from the pretax amount recorded in AOCI as the anticipated cash flows occur.

The following table presents the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments had on earnings during the three and six months ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income | |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Three Months Ended July 3, 2009 | Six Months Ended July 3, 2009 |
| Foreign currency contracts | Net operating revenues | \$ (3) | \$ (2) |
| Foreign currency contracts | Other income (loss) — net | 101 | 68 |
| Commodity futures | Cost of goods sold | 4 | 5 |
| Other derivative instruments | Selling, general and administrative expenses | 12 | 4 |
| Total | | \$ 114 | \$ 75 |

Note H — Fair Value Measurements

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 established a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 — Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

The Company has certain assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. For our Company, the only assets and liabilities that are adjusted to fair value on a recurring basis are investments in equity and debt securities classified as trading or available-for-sale and derivative

instruments. The following tables summarize those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of July 3, 2009, and December 31, 2008 (in millions):

| July 3, 2009 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Netting Adjustment ¹ | Fair Value Measurements |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Trading securities | \$ 40 | \$ 3 | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ 46 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 593 | 5 | — | — | 598 |
| Derivatives | 4 | 77 | — | (58) | 23 |
| Total assets | \$ 637 | \$ 85 | \$ 3 | \$ (58) | \$ 667 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 2 | \$ 47 | \$ 20 | \$ (65) | \$ 4 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 2 | \$ 47 | \$ 20 | \$ (65) | \$ 4 |

¹ Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. Refer to Note G.

| December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Netting Adjustment ¹ | Fair Value Measurements |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Trading securities | \$ 39 | \$ 4 | \$ 6 | \$ — | \$ 49 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 518 | 4 | — | — | 522 |
| Derivatives | 5 | 108 | — | (108) | 5 |
| Total assets | \$ 562 | \$ 116 | \$ 6 | \$ (108) | \$ 576 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 6 | \$ 288 | \$ 34 | \$ (117) | \$ 211 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 6 | \$ 288 | \$ 34 | \$ (117) | \$ 211 |

¹ Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. Refer to Note G.

Gross realized and unrealized gains and losses on level 3 assets and liabilities were not significant for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, and the three and six months ended June 27, 2008.

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company is required to record assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Generally, assets are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges. Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are summarized below (in millions):

| Three Months Ended July 3, 2009 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Impairment Charge | New Cost Basis ² | Level Used to Determine | | |
| | | | New Cost Basis | | |
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Buildings and improvements | \$ 17 ¹ | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Total | \$ 17 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |

¹ In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets," the Company recognized an impairment charge of approximately \$17 million in the second quarter of 2009. The charge was due to a change in disposal strategy related to a building that is no longer occupied. The Company had originally intended to sell the building along with the related land. However, we have determined that the maximum potential sales proceeds would likely be realized through the sale of vacant land. As a result, the building will be removed. Refer to Note K. The carrying value of the asset prior to recognizing the impairment was approximately \$17 million.

² The new cost basis represents the carrying value of the impaired asset immediately after the date of impairment. Therefore, this balance does not include the effect of translation and/or depreciation or amortization subsequent to the date of impairment, if applicable.

| Six Months Ended July 3, 2009 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Impairment Charge | New Cost Basis ² | Level Used to Determine | | |
| | | | New Cost Basis | | |
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cost method investment | \$ 27 ¹ | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Bottler franchise rights | 23 ³ | 2 | — | — | 2 |
| Buildings and improvements | 17 ⁴ | — | — | — | — |
| Total | \$ 67 | \$ 2 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 2 |

¹ In accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock," and FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," the Company recognized an other-than-temporary impairment charge of approximately \$27 million during the first quarter of 2009. The carrying value of the Company's investment prior to recognizing the impairment was approximately \$27 million. The Company determined that the fair value of the investment was zero as of April 3, 2009, based on level 3 inputs. Refer to Note K for further discussion of the factors leading to the recognition of the impairment.

² The new cost basis represents the carrying value of the impaired asset immediately after the date of impairment. Therefore, this balance does not include the effect of translation and/or depreciation or amortization subsequent to the date of impairment, if applicable.

³ In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," the Company recognized a charge of approximately \$23 million related to the impairment of an indefinite-lived intangible asset. The carrying value of the asset prior to the impairment was approximately \$25 million. As of April 3, 2009, the estimated fair value of the asset was approximately \$2 million and was estimated based on level 3 inputs. Refer to Note K.

⁴ In accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets," the Company recognized an impairment charge of approximately \$17 million in the second quarter of 2009. The charge was due to a change in disposal strategy related to a building that is no longer occupied. The Company had originally intended to sell the building along with the related land. However, we have determined that the maximum potential sales proceeds would likely be realized through the sale of vacant land. As a result, the building will be removed. Refer to Note K. The carrying value of the asset prior to recognizing the impairment was approximately \$17 million.

Note I — Long-Term Debt

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company replaced a certain amount of commercial paper and short-term debt with longer-term debt. The Company issued long-term notes in the principal amounts of \$900 million at a rate of 3.625 percent and \$1,350 million at a rate of 4.875 percent due March 15, 2014, and March 15, 2019, respectively.

Note J — Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Net periodic benefit cost for our pension and other postretirement benefit plans consisted of the following (in millions):

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | | |
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Service cost | \$ 27 | \$ 30 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 |
| Interest cost | 55 | 53 | 6 | 7 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (54) | (64) | (2) | (5) |
| Amortization of prior service cost (credit) | 2 | 3 | (15) | (16) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 19 | 2 | — | — |
| Net periodic benefit cost (credit) | \$ 49 | \$ 24 | \$ (6) | \$ (9) |

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Six Months Ended | | | |
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Service cost | \$ 54 | \$ 60 | \$ 10 | \$ 10 |
| Interest cost | 107 | 106 | 13 | 13 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (106) | (128) | (4) | (10) |
| Amortization of prior service cost (credit) | 3 | 5 | (30) | (31) |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 39 | 5 | — | — |
| Net periodic benefit cost (credit) | \$ 97 | \$ 48 | \$ (11) | \$ (18) |

The primary U.S. defined benefit pension plan was amended effective December 31, 2008. The plan will now have a two-part formula to determine pension benefits. The first part will retain the current final average pay structure, where service will freeze as of January 1, 2010, with pay escalating for the lesser of 10 years or until termination. The second part of the formula will be a cash balance account which will commence January 1, 2010, under which employees will receive credits based on age, service, pay and interest. The plan was also modified to allow lump sum distributions. These changes, as well as related changes to other U.S. plans, reduced pension obligations as of December 31, 2008, by approximately \$21 million. In addition, the U.S. retiree medical plan was amended to close the plan to new hires effective January 1, 2009.

We contributed approximately \$239 million to our pension plans during the six months ended July 3, 2009, of which approximately \$175 million was allocated to our primary U.S. plan. We anticipate making additional contributions of approximately \$30 million to our pension plans during the remainder of 2009. We contributed approximately \$27 million to our pension plans during the six months ended June 27, 2008.

Note K — Significant Operating and Nonoperating Items

Other Operating Charges

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company incurred other operating charges of approximately \$72 million, which consisted of \$39 million related to restructuring charges, \$17 million due to an asset impairment and \$16 million attributable to the Company's ongoing productivity initiatives. Refer to Note M for additional information on the restructuring charges and productivity initiatives. The asset impairment charge of approximately \$17 million was due to a change in disposal strategy related to a building that is no longer occupied. The Company had originally intended to sell the building along with the related land. However, we have determined that the maximum potential sales proceeds would likely be realized through the sale of vacant land. As a result, the building will be removed. The land is not considered held-for-sale, primarily due to the fact that it is not probable a sale would be completed within one year. Refer to Note H. These charges impacted the Eurasia and Africa, Europe, North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company incurred other operating charges of approximately \$92 million, which consisted of \$52 million related to restructuring charges, \$23 million due to an asset impairment and \$17 million attributable to productivity initiatives. Refer to Note M for additional information on the restructuring charges and productivity initiatives. The impairment charge was the result of a change in the expected useful life of an intangible asset, which was previously determined to have an indefinite life. Refer to Note H. These charges impacted the North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

In the second quarter of 2008, our Company recorded other operating charges of approximately \$110 million, consisting of \$54 million related to restructuring activities, \$44 million related to contract termination costs, \$9 million attributable to productivity initiatives and \$3 million related to asset impairments. Refer to Note M for additional information on the restructuring charges and productivity initiatives. The contract termination charge was related to fees paid by the Company to terminate an existing supply agreement. These charges impacted the North America, Bottling Investments and

Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company recorded other operating charges of approximately \$85 million, which consisted of \$54 million in restructuring charges, \$28 million due to asset impairments and \$3 million attributable to productivity initiatives. Refer to Note M for additional information on the restructuring charges and productivity initiatives. The asset impairments were primarily related to the write-down of manufacturing lines that produce product packaging materials to their estimated salvage values. These charges impacted the North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

Other Nonoperating Charges

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company recorded charges of approximately \$10 million in equity income (loss) — net. These charges primarily represent the Company's proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees and impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a charge of approximately \$27 million in other income (loss) — net as a result of an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of a cost method investment. As of December 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of this investment approximated the Company's carrying value in the investment. However, during the first quarter of 2009, the Company was informed by the investee of its intent to reorganize its capital structure in 2009, which would result in the Company's shares in the investee being canceled. As a result, the Company determined that the decline in fair value of this cost method investment was other than temporary. This impairment charge impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note H.

Also during the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded charges of approximately \$52 million in equity income (loss) — net. These charges represent the Company's proportionate share of asset impairments and restructuring charges recorded by equity method investees. These charges impacted the Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

Our equity income for the three months ended June 27, 2008, was reduced by our proportionate share of a \$5.3 billion pretax (\$3.4 billion after-tax) charge recorded by CCE due to an impairment of CCE's North American franchise rights. The Company's proportionate share of this charge was approximately \$1.1 billion. The decline in estimated fair value of CCE's North American franchise rights was the result of several factors including, but not limited to, (1) challenging macroeconomic conditions which have contributed to lower than anticipated volume for higher-margin packages and certain higher-margin beverage categories; (2) increases in raw material costs, including significant increases in aluminum, high fructose corn syrup ("HFCS") and resin; and (3) increased delivery costs as a result of higher fuel costs. In addition, we recorded approximately \$4 million for our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by CCE. These charges impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment.

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company recognized gains of approximately \$102 million due to divestitures, primarily related to the sale of Refrigerantes Minas Gerais Ltda. ("Remil"), a bottler in Brazil, to Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Coca-Cola FEMSA"). Prior to this sale, our Company owned 100 percent of the outstanding common stock of Remil. Cash proceeds from the sale were

approximately \$275 million, net of the cash balance as of the disposal date. The gains on these divestitures impacted the Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments and are included in other income (loss) — net in our consolidated statements of income.

In the first quarter of 2008, the Company recorded a net benefit of approximately \$5 million in equity income (loss) — net. The net benefit represents the Company's proportionate share of a tax benefit recorded by an equity method investee, partially offset by our proportionate share of restructuring charges and asset impairments recorded by equity method investees. None of these items was individually significant. The net benefit impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment.

Note L — Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate reflects the tax benefits from having significant operations outside the United States, which are taxed at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent. Our effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2009 included the impact of an approximate 14 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring costs, an asset impairment charge and productivity initiatives; an approximate 20 percent combined effective tax rate on our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees; and an approximate \$33 million net tax charge related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("Interpretation No. 48"), including interest and penalties. The components of the net change in uncertain tax positions were individually insignificant.

In addition to the items impacting the second quarter of 2009 noted above, our effective tax rate for the six months ended July 3, 2009, included the impact of an approximate 10 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring costs, an asset impairment charge and productivity initiatives; a zero percent effective tax rate on an other-than-temporary impairment charge; an approximate 25 percent combined effective tax rate on our proportionate share of restructuring and impairment charges recorded by our equity method investees; an approximate \$15 million tax expense, primarily related to valuation allowances recorded on deferred tax assets; and an approximate \$1 million net tax benefit related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties. The components of the net change in uncertain tax positions were individually insignificant.

Our effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2008 included the impact of an approximate 22 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring charges, contract termination costs, productivity initiatives and asset impairments; an approximate 20 percent combined effective tax rate on impairment and restructuring charges recorded by CCE; an approximate 31 percent combined effective tax rate on gains from divestitures; and an approximate \$29 million net tax charge related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties. The components of the net change in uncertain tax positions were individually insignificant.

In addition to the items impacting the second quarter of 2008 noted above, our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 27, 2008, included the impact of an approximate 18 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring costs, asset impairments and productivity initiatives; the impact of a net tax charge of approximately \$14 million related to our proportionate share of a tax benefit, restructuring charges and asset impairments recorded by our equity method investees; and an approximate \$2 million net tax charge related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties. The components of the net change in uncertain tax positions were individually insignificant.

It is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next twelve months; however, we do not expect the change to have a significant impact on our consolidated statement of income or consolidated balance sheet. These changes may be the result of settlements of ongoing audits, statute of limitations expiring, or final settlements in transfer pricing matters that are the subject of litigation. At this time, an estimate of the range of the reasonably possible outcomes cannot be made.

Note M — Restructuring Costs

Productivity Initiatives

During 2008, the Company announced a transformation effort centered on productivity initiatives that will provide additional flexibility to invest for growth. The initiatives are expected to impact a number of areas and include aggressively managing operating expenses supported by lean techniques; redesigning key processes to drive standardization and effectiveness; better leveraging our size and scale; and driving savings in indirect costs through the implementation of a "procure-to-pay" program.

The Company has incurred total pretax expenses of approximately \$88 million related to these productivity initiatives since they commenced in the first quarter of 2008, which were recorded in the line item other operating charges in our consolidated statements of income and impacted the Europe and Corporate operating segments. Other direct costs included both internal and external costs associated with the development, communication, administration and implementation of these initiatives. The Company currently expects the total cost of these initiatives to be approximately \$500 million and anticipates recognizing the remainder of the costs by the end of 2011.

The table below summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to productivity initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the quarter ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Accrued Balance April 3, 2009 | Costs Incurred Three Months Ended July 3, 2009 | Payments | Noncash and Exchange | Accrued Balance July 3, 2009 |
|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Severance pay and benefits | \$ 9 | \$ 4 | \$ (7) | \$ — | \$ 6 |
| Outside services — legal, outplacement, consulting | 4 | 9 | (9) | — | 4 |
| Other direct costs | — | 3 | (3) | — | — |
| Total | \$ 13 | \$ 16 | \$ (19) | \$ — | \$ 10 |

The table below summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to productivity initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the six months ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Accrued Balance December 31, 2008 | Costs Incurred Six Months Ended July 3, 2009 | Payments | Noncash and Exchange | Accrued Balance July 3, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Severance pay and benefits | \$ 14 | \$ 10 | \$ (18) | \$ — | \$ 6 |
| Outside services — legal, outplacement, consulting | 3 | 17 | (16) | — | 4 |
| Other direct costs | — | 6 | (6) | — | — |
| Total | \$ 17 | \$ 33 | \$ (40) | \$ — | \$ 10 |

Streamlining Initiatives

During 2007, the Company took steps to streamline and simplify its operations globally. In North America, the Company reorganized its operations around three main business units: Sparkling Beverages, Still Beverages and Emerging Brands. In Ireland, the Company announced a plan to close its beverage concentrate manufacturing and distribution plant in Drogheda, which was closed during the third quarter of 2008. The plant closure is expected to improve operating productivity and enhance capacity utilization. The costs associated with this plant closure are included in the Corporate operating segment. Selected other operations also took steps to streamline their operations to improve overall efficiency and effectiveness.

Employees separated, or to be separated, from the Company as a result of these streamlining initiatives were offered severance or early retirement packages, as appropriate, that included both financial and nonfinancial components. The expenses recorded in connection with these streamlining activities included costs related to involuntary terminations and other direct costs associated with implementing these initiatives. Other direct costs included expenses to relocate employees; contract termination costs; costs associated with the development, communication and administration of these initiatives; accelerated depreciation; and asset write-offs. The Company has incurred total pretax expenses of approximately \$414 million related to these streamlining initiatives since they commenced in 2007, which were recorded in the line item other operating charges in our consolidated statements of income. The Company does not anticipate significant additional charges, individually or in the aggregate, related to these initiatives.

The table below summarizes the balance of accrued streamlining expenses and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the quarter ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Accrued Balance April 3, 2009 | Costs Incurred Three Months Ended July 3, 2009 | Payments | Noncash and Exchange ¹ | Accrued Balance July 3, 2009 |
|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Severance pay and benefits | \$ 11 | \$ — | \$ (6) | \$ 1 | \$ 6 |
| Outside services — legal, outplacement, consulting | 1 | — | (1) | — | — |
| Other direct costs | 4 | 2 | (4) | (2) | — |
| Total | \$ 16 | \$ 2 | \$ (11) | \$ (1) | \$ 6 |

¹ Amounts primarily represent the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange.

The table below summarizes the balance of accrued streamlining expenses and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the six months ended July 3, 2009 (in millions):

| | Accrued Balance December 31, 2008 | Costs Incurred Six Months Ended July 3, 2009 | Payments | Noncash and Exchange ¹ | Accrued Balance July 3, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Severance pay and benefits | \$ 21 | \$ 2 | \$ (14) | \$ (3) | \$ 6 |
| Outside services — legal, outplacement, consulting | 1 | — | (1) | — | — |
| Other direct costs | 8 | 2 | (8) | (2) | — |
| Total | \$ 30 | \$ 4 | \$ (23) | \$ (5) | \$ 6 |

¹ Amounts primarily represent the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange.

Integration Initiatives

In the second quarter of 2009 and in the six months ended July 3, 2009, the Company incurred approximately \$22 million and \$63 million, respectively, of charges related to the integration of the 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired in 2007. The Company began these integration initiatives in 2008 and has incurred total pretax expenses of approximately \$84 million since they commenced. The expenses recorded in connection with these integration activities have been primarily due to involuntary terminations. These charges were recorded in the line item other operating charges in our consolidated statements of income and impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment. The Company had approximately \$45 million and \$17 million accrued related to these integration costs as of July 3, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively. The Company is currently reviewing other integration and restructuring opportunities within the German bottling and distribution operations, which if implemented will result in additional charges in future periods. However, as of July 3, 2009, the Company has not finalized any additional plans.

Other Restructuring Activities

In the second quarter of 2009 and in the six months ended July 3, 2009, the Company incurred approximately \$15 million and \$24 million of charges related to other restructuring activities outside the scope of the productivity, streamlining and integration initiatives discussed above. These charges were recorded in the line item other operating charges in our consolidated statements of income and impacted the Eurasia and Africa, North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments.

The following table summarizes the impact that productivity initiatives, streamlining, integration and other restructuring activities had on our operating segments (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Eurasia & Africa | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ 3 | \$ — |
| Europe | 1 | — | 1 | — |
| North America | 8 | 4 | 13 | 6 |
| Bottling Investments | 26 | 9 | 68 | 13 |
| Corporate | 17 | 50 | 39 | 101 |
| Total | \$ 55 | \$ 63 | \$ 124 | \$ 120 |

Note N — Acquisitions and Investments

During the six months ended July 3, 2009, our Company's acquisition and investment activities totaled approximately \$248 million. None of the acquisitions or investments was individually significant. Included in these investment activities was the acquisition of a minority interest in Fresh Trading Ltd. Additionally, the Company and the existing shareowners of Fresh Trading Ltd. entered into a series of put and call options for the Company to potentially acquire some or all of the remaining shares not already owned by the Company. The put and call options are exercisable in stages between 2010 and 2014.

During the six months ended June 27, 2008, our Company's acquisition and investment activities totaled approximately \$621 million. Included in these investment activities was the acquisition of brands and licenses in Denmark and Finland from Carlsberg Group Beverages ("Carlsberg") for approximately \$225 million. None of the other acquisitions or investments was individually significant.

Note O — Operating Segments

Information about our Company's operations as of and for the three months ended July 3, 2009, and June 27, 2008, by operating segment, is as follows (in millions):

| | Eurasia & Africa | Europe | Latin America | North America | Pacific | Bottling Investments | Corporate | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------|------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net operating revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Third party Intersegment | \$ 546 | \$ 1,211 | \$ 861 | \$ 2,173 | \$ 1,235 | \$ 2,206 | \$ 35 | \$ — | \$ 8,267 |
| Total net revenues | 69 | 242 | 37 | 37 | 87 | 36 | — | (508) | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 615 | 1,453 | 898 | 2,210 | 1,322 | 2,242 | 35 | (508) | 8,267 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 2431 | 8611 | 472 | 4551 | 594 | 1221 | (309) ¹ | — | 2,438 |
| Identifiable operating assets | 2531 | 8801 | 470 | 4611 | 587 | 4201.2 | (343) ¹ | — | 2,728 |
| Investments | 1,083 | 2,913 | 2,045 | 11,517 | 1,596 | 8,662 | 11,946 | — | 39,762 |
| | 330 | 228 | 226 | 2 | 74 | 5,368 | 64 | — | 6,292 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net operating revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Third party Intersegment | \$ 601 | \$ 1,444 | \$ 897 | \$ 2,240 | \$ 1,227 | \$ 2,608 | \$ 29 | \$ — | \$ 9,046 |
| Total net revenues | 66 | 299 | 63 | 16 | 97 | 93 | — | (634) | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 667 | 1,743 | 960 | 2,256 | 1,324 | 2,701 | 29 | (634) | 9,046 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 269 | 1,016 | 531 | 457 ³ | 604 | 156 ³ | (354) ³ | — | 2,679 |
| Identifiable operating assets | 274 | 1,027 | 537 | 460 ³ | 599 | (694) ^{3,4,5} | (286) ^{3,5} | — | 1,917 |
| Investments | 1,056 | 3,568 | 2,040 | 11,300 | 1,547 | 9,513 | 11,340 | — | 40,364 |
| | 434 | 174 | 262 | 16 | 72 | 6,347 | 62 | — | 7,367 |
| As of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| Identifiable operating assets | \$ 956 | \$ 3,012 | \$ 1,849 | \$ 10,845 | \$ 1,444 | \$ 7,935 | \$ 8,699 | \$ — | \$ 34,740 |
| Investments | 395 | 179 | 199 | 4 | 72 | 4,873 | 57 | — | 5,779 |

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

¹ Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes for the three months ended July 3, 2009, were reduced by approximately \$3 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$1 million for Europe, \$8 million for North America, \$26 million for Bottling Investments and \$34 million for Corporate, primarily as a result of restructuring costs, an asset impairment and productivity initiatives.

² Income (loss) before income taxes for the three months ended July 3, 2009, was reduced by approximately \$10 million for Bottling Investments, primarily attributable to our proportionate share of restructuring costs recorded by certain of our equity method investees.

³ Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes for the three months ended June 27, 2008, were reduced by approximately \$4 million for North America, \$9 million for Bottling Investments and \$97 million for Corporate, primarily attributable to restructuring costs, contract termination fees, asset impairments and productivity initiatives.

⁴ Income (loss) before income taxes for the three months ended June 27, 2008, was reduced by approximately \$1.1 billion for Bottling Investments, primarily as a result of our proportionate share of an impairment charge recorded by CCE.

⁵ Income (loss) before income taxes for the three months ended June 27, 2008, was increased by approximately \$102 million for Bottling Investments and Corporate, primarily due to the gain on the sale of Remil to Coca-Cola FEMSA.

Information about our Company's operations as of and for the six months ended July 3, 2009, and June 27, 2008, by operating segment, is as follows (in millions):

| | Eurasia & Africa | Europe | Latin America | North America | Pacific | Bottling Investments | Corporate | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2009 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net operating revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Third party | \$ 1,004 | \$ 2,191 | \$ 1,689 | \$ 4,217 | \$ 2,281 | \$ 4,002 | \$ 52 | \$ — | \$ 15,436 |
| Intersegment | 114 | 442 | 69 | 49 | 181 | 62 | — | (917) | — |
| Total net revenues | 1,118 | 2,633 | 1,758 | 4,266 | 2,462 | 4,064 | 52 | (917) | 15,436 |
| Operating income (loss) | 450 ¹ | 1,553 ¹ | 926 | 883 ¹ | 1,050 | 531 | (614) ¹ | — | 4,301 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 455 ¹ | 1,577 ¹ | 927 | 887 ¹ | 1,040 | 377 ^{1,2} | (720) ^{1,2,3} | — | 4,543 |
| 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| Net operating revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Third party | \$ 1,121 | \$ 2,567 | \$ 1,741 | \$ 4,124 | \$ 2,140 | \$ 4,675 | \$ 57 | \$ — | \$ 16,425 |
| Intersegment | 107 | 530 | 120 | 30 | 188 | 115 | — | (1,090) | — |
| Total net revenues | 1,228 | 3,097 | 1,861 | 4,154 | 2,328 | 4,790 | 57 | (1,090) | 16,425 |
| Operating income (loss) | 496 | 1,751 | 1,037 | 781 ⁴ | 992 | 1,734 | (677) ⁴ | — | 4,553 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 501 | 1,769 | 1,044 | 785 ⁴ | 983 | (535) ^{4,5,6} | (671) ^{4,6} | — | 3,876 |

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

¹ Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes for the six months ended July 3, 2009, were reduced by approximately \$3 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$1 million for Europe, \$13 million for North America, \$91 million for Bottling Investments and \$56 million for Corporate, primarily as a result of restructuring costs, asset impairments and productivity initiatives.

² Income (loss) before income taxes for the six months ended July 3, 2009, was reduced by approximately \$61 million for Bottling Investments and \$1 million for Corporate, primarily attributable to our proportionate share of asset impairment charges and restructuring costs recorded by certain of our equity method investees.

³ Income (loss) before income taxes for the six months ended July 3, 2009, was reduced by approximately \$27 million for Corporate due to an other-than-temporary impairment of a cost method investment.

⁴ Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes for the six months ended June 27, 2008, were reduced by approximately \$6 million for North America, \$13 million for Bottling Investments and \$176 million for Corporate, primarily attributable to restructuring costs, contract termination fees, asset impairments and productivity initiatives.

⁵ Income (loss) before income taxes for the six months ended June 27, 2008, was reduced by approximately \$1.1 billion for Bottling Investments, primarily as a result of our proportionate share of an impairment charge recorded by CCE.

⁶ Income (loss) before income taxes for the six months ended June 27, 2008, was increased by approximately \$102 million for Bottling Investments and Corporate, primarily due to the gain on the sale of Remil to Coca-Cola FEMSA.

When used in this report, the terms "Company," "we," "us" or "our" mean The Coca-Cola Company and all entities included in our consolidated financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Recoverability of Noncurrent Assets

Our Company faces many uncertainties and risks related to various economic, political and regulatory environments in the countries in which we operate, particularly in developing and emerging markets. Refer to the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part I and "Our Business — Challenges and Risks" in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. As a result, management must make numerous assumptions which involve a significant amount of judgment when completing recoverability and impairment tests of noncurrent assets in various regions around the world.

We perform recoverability and impairment tests of noncurrent assets in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. For certain assets, recoverability and/or impairment tests are required only when conditions exist that indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. For other assets, impairment tests are required at least annually, or more frequently, if events or circumstances indicate that an asset may be impaired.

Investments in Equity and Debt Securities

Investments classified as trading securities are not assessed for impairment, since they are carried at fair value with the change in fair value included in consolidated net income. We review our investments in equity and debt securities that are accounted for using the equity method or cost method or that are classified as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity each reporting period to determine whether a significant event or change in circumstances has occurred that may have an adverse effect on the fair value of each investment. When such events or changes occur, we evaluate the fair value compared to our cost basis in the investment. We also perform this evaluation every reporting period for each investment for which our cost basis has exceeded the fair value in the prior period. The fair values of most of our Company's investments in publicly traded companies are often readily available based on quoted market prices. For investments in nonpublicly traded companies, management's assessment of fair value is based on valuation methodologies including discounted cash flows, estimates of sales proceeds and appraisals, as appropriate. We consider the assumptions that we believe hypothetical marketplace participants would use in evaluating estimated future cash flows when employing the discounted cash flow or estimates of sales proceeds valuation methodologies. The ability to accurately predict future cash flows, especially in developing and emerging markets, may impact the determination of fair value.

In the event the fair value of an investment declines below our cost basis, management is required to determine if the decline in fair value is other than temporary. If management determines the decline is other than temporary, an impairment charge is recorded. Management's assessment as to the nature of a decline in fair value is based on, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than our cost basis; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and our intent and ability to retain the investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

The following table presents the difference between calculated fair values, based on quoted closing prices of publicly traded shares, and our Company's cost basis in publicly traded bottlers accounted for as equity method investments (in millions):

| July 3, 2009 | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Difference |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. ¹ | \$ 2,822 | \$ — | \$ 2,822 |
| Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V. | 2,480 | 952 | 1,528 |
| Coca-Cola Hellenic Bottling Company S.A. | 1,749 | 1,491 | 258 |
| Coca-Cola Amatil Limited | 1,567 | 744 | 823 |
| Grupo Continental, S.A.B. | 283 | 152 | 131 |
| Coca-Cola Icecek A.S. | 297 | 126 | 171 |
| Coca-Cola Embonor S.A. | 270 | 193 | 77 |
| Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated | 136 | 69 | 67 |
| Embotelladoras Coca-Cola Polar S.A. | 94 | 72 | 22 |
| Total | \$ 9,698 | \$ 3,799 | \$ 5,899 |

¹ The carrying value of our investment in Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. ("CCE") was reduced to zero as of December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of recording our proportionate share of impairment charges and items impacting accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI") recorded by CCE during 2008.

The carrying value of our investment in Coca-Cola Hellenic Bottling Company S.A. ("Coca-Cola Hellenic") exceeded its fair value in the last three months of 2008 and the first three months of 2009; however, the amount by which our cost basis exceeded its fair value decreased in each of those months. During the second quarter of 2009, Coca-Cola Hellenic's share price rose to a level where its fair value exceeded our cost basis. As of July 3, 2009, the fair value of our investment in Coca-Cola Hellenic exceeded our cost basis by approximately \$258 million. We will continue to monitor our investment in future periods.

As of July 3, 2009, the Company had several investments classified as available-for-sale securities in which our cost basis has exceeded the fair value of the investment. As of July 3, 2009, unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were approximately \$268 million and \$10 million, respectively. Management assessed each individual investment with unrealized losses to determine if the decline in fair value was other than temporary. Based on these assessments, management determined that the decline in fair value of each of these investments was temporary in nature. We will continue to monitor these investments in future periods.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a charge of approximately \$27 million in other income (loss) — net as a result of an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of a cost method investment. As of December 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of this investment approximated the Company's carrying value in the investment. However, during the first quarter of 2009, the Company was informed by the investee of its intent to reorganize its capital structure in 2009, which would result in the Company's shares in the investee being canceled. As a result, the Company determined that the decline in fair value of this cost method investment was other than temporary. This impairment charge impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note H and Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Goodwill, Trademarks and Other Intangible Assets

SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," classifies intangible assets into three categories: (1) intangible assets with definite lives subject to amortization; (2) intangible assets with indefinite lives not subject to amortization; and (3) goodwill. For intangible assets with definite lives, tests for impairment must be performed if conditions exist that indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. For intangible assets with indefinite lives and goodwill, tests for impairment must be

performed at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that assets might be impaired. Our equity method investees also perform such tests for impairment of intangible assets and/or goodwill. If an impairment charge was recorded by one of our equity method investees, the Company would record its proportionate share of such charge. However, the actual amount we record with respect to our proportionate share of such charges may be impacted by items such as basis differences, deferred taxes and deferred gains.

Intangible assets acquired in recent transactions are naturally more susceptible to impairment, primarily due to the fact that they are recorded at fair value based on recent operating plans and macroeconomic conditions present at the time of acquisition. Consequently, if operating results and/or macroeconomic conditions deteriorate shortly after an acquisition, it could result in the impairment of the acquired assets. A deterioration of macroeconomic conditions may not only negatively impact the estimated operating cash flows used in our cash flow models, but may also negatively impact other assumptions used in our analyses, including, but not limited to, the estimated cost of capital and/or discount rates. Additionally, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we are required to ensure that assumptions used to determine fair value in our analyses are consistent with the assumptions a hypothetical marketplace participant would use. As a result, the cost of capital and/or discount rates used in our analyses may increase or decrease based on market conditions and trends, regardless of whether our Company's actual cost of capital has changed. Therefore, our Company may recognize an impairment of an intangible asset or assets in spite of realizing actual cash flows that are approximately equal to or greater than our previously forecasted amounts.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded an asset impairment charge of approximately \$23 million. The impairment charge was the result of a change in the expected useful life of an intangible asset, which was previously determined to have an indefinite life. This charge was recorded in other operating charges and impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment. Refer to Note H and Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Sales of our ready-to-drink nonalcoholic beverages are somewhat seasonal, with the second and third calendar quarters accounting for the highest sales volumes. The volume of sales in the beverage business may be affected by weather conditions.

Beverage Volume

We measure our sales volume in two ways: (1) unit cases of finished products and (2) concentrate sales. A "unit case" is a unit of measurement equal to 192 U.S. fluid ounces of finished beverage (24 eight-ounce servings). Unit case volume represents the number of unit cases of Company beverage products directly or indirectly sold by the Company and its bottling partners ("Coca-Cola system") to customers. Unit case volume primarily consists of beverage products bearing Company trademarks. Also included in unit case volume are certain products licensed to, or distributed by, our Company, and brands owned by Coca-Cola system bottlers for which our Company provides marketing support and from the sale of which we derive economic benefit. Such products licensed to, or distributed by, our Company or owned by Coca-Cola system bottlers account for a minimal portion of total unit case volume. In addition, unit case volume includes sales by joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest. Unit case volume is derived based on estimates supplied by our bottling partners and distributors. Concentrate sales volume represents the amount of concentrates, syrups, beverage bases and powders (in all cases expressed in equivalent unit cases) sold by, or used in finished beverages sold by, the Company to its bottling partners or other customers. Most of our revenues are based on concentrate sales, a primarily wholesale activity. Unit case volume and concentrate sales growth rates are not necessarily equal during any given period. Factors such as seasonality, bottlers' inventory

practices, supply point changes, timing of price increases, new product introductions and changes in product mix can impact unit case volume and concentrate sales and can create differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales growth rates. In addition to the items mentioned above, the impact of unit case volume from certain joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest, but to which the Company does not sell concentrates, syrups, beverage bases or powders, may give rise to differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales growth rates.

Information about our volume growth by operating segment for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, is as follows:

| | Percentage Change 2009 versus 2008 | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Second Quarter | | Year-to-Date | |
| | Unit Cases ^{1,2,3} | Concentrate Sales ⁴ | Unit Cases ^{1,2,3} | Concentrate Sales ⁴ |
| Worldwide ⁵ | 4% | 3% | 3% | 5% |
| Eurasia & Africa | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Europe | 1 | (2) | (1) | (1) |
| Latin America | 6 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| North America | (1) | (1) | (2) | — |
| Pacific | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Bottling Investments | (3) | N/A | (4) | N/A |

¹ Bottling Investments operating segment data reflect unit case volume growth for consolidated bottlers only.

² Geographic segment data reflect unit case volume growth for all bottlers in the applicable geographic areas, both consolidated and unconsolidated.

³ Unit case volume percentage change is based on average daily sales. Unit case volume growth based on average daily sales is computed by comparing the average daily sales in each of the corresponding periods. Average daily sales for each quarter and year-to-date period are the unit cases sold during the period divided by the number of days in the period.

⁴ Concentrate sales volume represents the actual amount of concentrates, syrups, beverage bases and powders sold by, or used in finished beverages sold by, the Company to its bottling partners or other customers and is not based on average daily sales. Each of our interim reporting periods, other than the fourth interim reporting period, ends on the Friday closest to the last day of the corresponding quarterly calendar period. The first quarter of 2009 had five additional days compared to the first quarter of 2008. However, the reporting period for the three months ended July 3, 2009, had the same number of days compared to the three months ended June 27, 2008. Consequently, the reporting period for the six months ended July 3, 2009, had five additional days compared to the six months ended June 27, 2008. Our fourth interim reporting period and our fiscal year end on December 31 regardless of the day of the week on which December 31 falls. The fourth quarter of 2009 will have six fewer days compared to the fourth quarter of 2008.

⁵ Acquisitions contributed 1 percentage point of worldwide unit case volume growth in the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, respectively, versus the comparable periods of the prior year.

Unit Case Volume

Although most of our Company's revenues are not based directly on unit case volume, we believe unit case volume is one of the measures of the underlying strength of the Coca-Cola system because it measures trends at the consumer level.

In Eurasia and Africa, unit case volume increased 7 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and 5 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The 7 percent growth in the second quarter consisted of growth in sparkling and still beverages of 6 percent and 13 percent, respectively. The group's second quarter unit case volume growth was primarily attributable to 33 percent growth in India, 6 percent growth in North and West Africa and 11 percent growth in East and Central Africa. Sparkling beverages drove India's second quarter unit case volume growth, led by double-digit growth in Trademark Thums Up, Trademark Sprite, Trademark Maaza, Trademark Fanta and Trademark Coca-Cola. In addition to the growth of sparkling beverages in India, still beverages grew 30 percent during the quarter. The group's second quarter unit case volume growth also benefited from 9 percent and 4 percent growth in Nigeria and Turkey, respectively. Unit case volume in South Africa was even in the second quarter. The unit case volume growth in the aforementioned markets was partially offset by a 9 percent decline in Russia during the second quarter of 2009, primarily due to the continued challenging economic environment.

Unit case volume in Europe increased 1 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and decreased 1 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The unit case volume growth in the second quarter consisted of growth in sparkling and still beverages of 1 percent and 4 percent, respectively. The group's second quarter growth was primarily attributable to 9 percent growth in France and 6 percent growth in Great Britain during the quarter. The volume growth in these markets was partially offset by the impact of difficult macroeconomic conditions throughout most of Europe, which impacted a number of key markets and contributed to low single-digit volume declines in Germany and Iberia in the second quarter and mid single-digit declines for the six months ended July 3, 2009.

In Latin America, unit case volume increased 6 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The group's unit case volume growth in the second quarter consisted of 3 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 24 percent growth in still beverages. Latin America benefited from strong volume growth in key markets during the second quarter, including 6 percent in Mexico, 5 percent in Brazil and 6 percent in Argentina. Acquisitions contributed 1 percentage point of the group's total unit case volume growth in the quarter and six months ended July 3, 2009. Sparkling beverage unit case volume growth in the second quarter was primarily attributable to 4 percent volume growth in Coca-Cola. The successful integration of Jugos del Valle, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Jugos del Valle"), which was acquired jointly with Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Coca-Cola FEMSA") in 2007, drove still beverage volume growth. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, still beverage unit case volume grew 19 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and 21 percent for the six months ended July 3, 2009.

Unit case volume in North America decreased 1 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and 2 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The group's unit case volume for the second quarter and for the six months ended July 3, 2009, reflected the impact of a continuing difficult U.S. economic environment. The effect of the economic environment on the second quarter was partially offset by the impact of holiday shifts into the second quarter of 2009 versus the second quarter of 2008 and strong customer and consumer programs. North America's unit case volume decline in the second quarter consisted of a 2 percent decline in sparkling beverages, partially offset by 1 percent growth in still beverages. The decline in sparkling beverages in the current quarter and the six months ended July 3, 2009, was partly attributable to the significant bottler price increase taken in the fourth quarter of 2008. The negative impact of current macroeconomic conditions and bottler price increases was partially offset by the continued strong performance of Coca-Cola Zero, which had a 24 percent unit case volume increase in the second quarter. Still beverage unit case volume growth in the quarter was primarily due to the strong performance of Fuze, Trademark Simply and tea. The unit case volume growth in still beverages also

included a double-digit volume decline in Trademark Dasani in the second quarter, primarily due to the slowing water category.

In Pacific, unit case volume increased 6 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and 5 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. Pacific's unit case volume growth in the second quarter of 2009 consisted of growth in sparkling and still beverages of 7 percent and 5 percent, respectively. Sparkling beverage growth in Pacific for the second quarter included 6 percent growth in Trademark Coca-Cola. The group's second quarter volume growth was led by 14 percent growth in China and 2 percent growth in Japan. China's second quarter volume growth reflected 14 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 15 percent growth in still beverages. China's sparkling beverage volume growth was led by double-digit growth in Trademark Sprite and high single-digit growth in Trademark Coca-Cola and Trademark Fanta. China's still beverage volume growth was led by double-digit growth in Minute Maid. Japan's 2 percent unit case volume growth in the second quarter of 2009 consisted of 6 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 1 percent growth in still beverages. Japan's growth in sparkling beverages in the quarter was led by 10 percent growth in Trademark Coca-Cola, primarily attributable to the continued success of Coca-Cola Zero. Still beverage growth in Japan during the quarter was primarily attributable to 9 percent growth in Sokenbicha, partially offset by a volume decline in Georgia Coffee. Due to the weak economy, Georgia Coffee was negatively impacted by shifts away from the at-work vending channel. The unit case volume growth in the aforementioned markets was partially offset by a 6 percent decline in the Philippines during the second quarter.

Unit case volume for Bottling Investments decreased 3 percent in the second quarter of 2009 and 4 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The group's unit case volume decline in the quarter and in the six months ended July 3, 2009, was primarily due to the sale of certain bottling operations during 2008, including Refrigerantes Minas Gerais Ltda. ("Remil"), a bottler in Brazil, and the sale of a portion of our ownership interest in Coca-Cola Beverages Pakistan Ltd. ("Coca-Cola Pakistan"), which resulted in its deconsolidation. Unit case volume declines in Germany and the Philippines also contributed to the group's unit case volume decline in the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009. The Company's consolidated bottling operations account for 100 percent of the unit case volume in those countries.

Concentrate Sales Volume

For the second quarter of 2009, differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates for all operating segments were primarily due to timing of concentrate shipments and the impact of unit case volume from certain joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest, but to which the Company does not sell concentrates, syrups, beverage bases or powders. As discussed above, unit case volume growth rates are based on average daily sales. Conversely, concentrate sales volume growth rates are based on the actual amount of concentrates, syrups, beverage bases and powders sold by, or used in finished beverages sold by, the Company to its bottling partners or other customers and are not calculated based on average daily sales.

For the six months ended July 3, 2009, differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates for all operating segments were primarily due to five additional selling days in the first quarter of 2009 versus the first quarter of 2008. In addition to the five extra selling days in the first quarter of 2009, the timing of concentrate shipments and the impact of unit case volume from certain joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest, but to which the Company does not sell concentrates, syrups, beverage bases or powders, also contributed to the differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates.

Net Operating Revenues

Net operating revenues decreased by \$779 million, or 9 percent, for the three months ended July 3, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 27, 2008. The following table illustrates, on a percentage basis, the estimated impact of key factors which resulted in the decrease in net operating revenues:

| | Percentage Change 2009 versus 2008 |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Increase in concentrate sales volume | 3% |
| Structural changes | (2) |
| Price and product/geographic mix | (1) |
| Impact of currency fluctuations versus the U.S. dollar | (9) |
| Total percentage decrease | (9)% |

Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume," above, for a discussion of concentrate sales volume. Also included in concentrate sales volume is the impact of acquired beverage companies and the acquisition of trademarks.

"Structural changes" refers to acquisitions or dispositions of bottling, distribution or canning operations and consolidation or deconsolidation of bottling or distribution entities for accounting purposes. Structural changes accounted for approximately 2 percent of the decrease in net operating revenues in the second quarter of 2009 versus the comparable period in the prior year. This decrease was primarily attributable to the sale of certain bottling operations during 2008, including Remil and a portion of our ownership interest in Coca-Cola Pakistan, which resulted in its deconsolidation.

Price and product/geographic mix decreased net operating revenues by approximately 1 percent in the second quarter of 2009 versus the comparable period in the prior year. This decrease reflected our current focus to drive greater affordability initiatives across many key markets to ensure we continue building brand relevance and equity with consumers. Additionally, our Japanese business was impacted by shifts away from the at-work vending channel.

The unfavorable impact of currency fluctuations decreased net operating revenues by approximately 9 percent in the second quarter of 2009 versus the comparable period in the prior year. The unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates was primarily due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to most foreign currencies, including the euro, Brazilian real, Mexican peso, British pound, Australian dollar and South African rand, which had an unfavorable impact on the Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America, Pacific and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the aforementioned currencies was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the Japanese yen, which had a favorable impact on the Pacific operating segment. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position — Foreign Exchange."

Net operating revenues decreased by \$989 million, or 6 percent, for the six months ended July 3, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 27, 2008. The following table illustrates, on a percentage basis, the estimated impact of key factors which resulted in the decrease in net operating revenues:

| | Percentage Change 2009 versus 2008 |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Increase in concentrate sales volume | 5% |
| Structural changes | (2) |
| Price and product/geographic mix | — |
| Impact of currency fluctuations versus the U.S. dollar | (9) |
| Total percentage decrease | (6)% |

Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" for a discussion of concentrate sales volume. Also included in concentrate sales volume is the impact of acquired beverage companies and the acquisition of trademarks.

Structural changes accounted for approximately 2 percent of the decrease in net operating revenues for the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable period in the prior year. This decrease was primarily attributable to the sale of certain bottling operations during 2008, including Remil and a portion of our ownership interest in Coca-Cola Pakistan, which resulted in its deconsolidation.

Price and product/geographic mix had a net zero percent impact on net operating revenues for the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable period in the prior year.

The unfavorable impact of currency fluctuations decreased net operating revenues by approximately 9 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable period in the prior year. The unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates was primarily due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to most foreign currencies, including the euro, Brazilian real, Mexican peso, British pound, Australian dollar and South African rand, which had an unfavorable impact on the Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America, Pacific and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the aforementioned currencies was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the Japanese yen, which had a favorable impact on the Pacific operating segment. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position — Foreign Exchange."

Gross Profit

Our gross profit margin decreased to 64.8 percent in the second quarter of 2009 from 65.0 percent in the second quarter of 2008, and decreased to 64.3 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, from 64.8 percent in the six months ended June 27, 2008. The decline in our gross profit margin in the three and six months ended July 3, 2009, was primarily attributable to foreign currency fluctuations; our current focus to drive greater affordability initiatives across many key markets; unfavorable channel and product mix in certain key markets; the growth of our finished product operations; and increases in the cost of raw materials. Generally, bottling and finished product operations produce higher net revenues but lower gross profit margins compared to concentrate and syrup operations. The unfavorable impact of the previously mentioned items was partially offset by the favorable impact that the sale of certain bottling operations in 2008 had on our gross profit margin. Bottling operations sold in 2008 included Remil and a portion of our ownership interest in Coca-Cola Pakistan, which resulted in its deconsolidation.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table sets forth the significant components of selling, general and administrative expenses (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Selling and advertising expenses | \$ 2,104 | \$ 2,360 | \$ 3,991 | \$ 4,407 |
| General and administrative expenses | 686 | 658 | 1,370 | 1,332 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 54 | 77 | 107 | 152 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 2,844 | \$ 3,095 | \$ 5,468 | \$ 5,891 |

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$251 million, or 8 percent, in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the second quarter of 2008, and \$423 million, or 7 percent, in the six

months ended July 3, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 27, 2008. The decreases were primarily attributable to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, which decreased selling, general and administrative expenses by approximately 8 percent in the second quarter and 9 percent for the six months ended July 3, 2009. In addition to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, the decreases were partly attributable to our more effective management of general and administrative expenses, the sale of certain bottling operations in 2008 and a decrease in stock-based compensation expense. Bottling operations sold in 2008 included Remil and a portion of our ownership interest in Coca-Cola Pakistan, which resulted in its deconsolidation. The decrease in stock-based compensation expense was primarily due to accruing expenses related to performance-based long-term incentive plans at a lower estimated payout rate compared to the second quarter of 2008, due to our revised outlook of the impact of foreign currency fluctuations in future years. The impact of these items was partially offset by the Company's increased pension cost. Also impacting the comparability was the impact of lower annual incentive costs in the second quarter of 2008.

The increase in pension cost for the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year was primarily due to the significant decline in the equity markets precipitated by the recent credit crisis and financial system instability. This negatively affected the value of our pension plan assets. The impact of the decline in the value of our pension plan assets and decrease in the discount rate was partially offset by the impact of amendments made to the primary U.S. defined benefit pension plan which were effective December 31, 2008. As a result of these factors, our full year 2009 U.S. pension cost will increase by approximately \$100 million compared to the prior year. Our pension cost in years beyond 2009 may also be impacted by these changes. In addition, as a result of the decline in fair value of our pension plan assets and a decrease in the discount rate used to calculate pension benefit obligations, we have made and anticipate making additional contributions to our U.S. and international pension plans in 2009. We contributed approximately \$239 million to our pension plans during the six months ended July 3, 2009, of which approximately \$175 million was allocated to our primary U.S. plan. We anticipate making additional contributions of approximately \$30 million to our global pension plans during the remainder of 2009. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position" and Note J of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of July 3, 2009, we had approximately \$453 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under our plans, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. This expected cost does not include the impact of any future stock-based compensation awards.

Other Operating Charges

Other operating charges incurred by operating segment were as follows (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Eurasia & Africa | \$ 3 | \$ — | \$ 3 | \$ — |
| Europe | 1 | — | 1 | — |
| North America | 8 | 4 | 13 | 6 |
| Bottling Investments | 26 | 9 | 91 | 13 |
| Corporate | 34 | 97 | 56 | 176 |
| | \$ 72 | \$ 110 | \$ 164 | \$ 195 |

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company incurred other operating charges of approximately \$72 million, which consisted of \$39 million related to restructuring charges, \$17 million due to an asset impairment and \$16 million attributable to productivity initiatives.

The restructuring costs incurred during the second quarter of 2009 were primarily related to the integration of the 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired in 2007. This portion of the integration costs did not qualify to be accrued under purchase accounting. The Company began these integration activities in 2008 and has incurred related total pretax expenses of approximately \$84 million since they commenced. The Company is currently reviewing other integration and restructuring opportunities within the German bottling and distribution operations, which if implemented will result in additional charges in future periods. However, as of July 3, 2009, the Company has not finalized any additional plans. Refer to Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The total restructuring costs were recorded in the line item other operating charges and impacted the Eurasia and Africa, North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments.

The asset impairment charge of approximately \$17 million was due to a change in disposal strategy related to a building that is no longer occupied. The Company had originally intended to sell the building along with the related land. However, we have determined that the maximum potential sales proceeds would likely be realized through the sale of vacant land. As a result, the building will be removed. The land is not considered held-for-sale, primarily due to the fact that it is not probable a sale would be completed within one year. This charge impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note H and Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other operating charges in the second quarter of 2009 also included pretax expenses of approximately \$16 million related to productivity initiatives. The Company has recognized approximately \$88 million related to these initiatives since they commenced in the first quarter of 2008. The Company is targeting \$500 million in annualized savings from productivity initiatives by the end of 2011 to provide additional flexibility to invest for growth. The savings are expected to be generated in a number of areas and include aggressively managing operating expenses supported by lean techniques; redesigning key processes to drive standardization and effectiveness; better leveraging our size and scale; and driving savings in indirect costs through the implementation of a "procure-to-pay" program. In realizing these savings, the Company expects to incur total costs of approximately \$500 million by the end of 2011. These charges impacted the Europe and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company incurred other operating charges of approximately \$92 million, which consisted of \$52 million related to restructuring charges, \$23 million due to an asset impairment and \$17 million attributable to productivity initiatives. Refer to Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the restructuring charges and productivity initiatives. The impairment charge was the result of a change in the expected useful life of an intangible asset, which was previously determined to have an indefinite life. Refer to Note H of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. These charges impacted the North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

In the second quarter of 2008, our Company recorded other operating charges of approximately \$110 million, consisting of \$54 million related to restructuring activities, \$44 million related to contract termination costs, \$9 million attributable to productivity initiatives and \$3 million related to asset impairments. The contract termination charge was related to fees paid by the Company to terminate an existing supply agreement. These charges impacted the North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company recorded other operating charges of approximately \$85 million, which consisted of approximately \$54 million in restructuring charges, \$28 million due to asset impairments and \$3 million attributable to productivity initiatives. The asset impairments were primarily related to the write-down of manufacturing lines that produce product packaging materials to their estimated salvage values. These charges impacted the North America, Bottling Investments and Corporate operating segments. Refer to Note O of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

Operating Income and Operating Margin

Information about our operating income by operating segment on a percentage basis is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Eurasia & Africa | 10.0% | 10.0% | 10.5% | 10.9% |
| Europe | 35.3 | 37.9 | 36.1 | 38.5 |
| Latin America | 19.3 | 19.8 | 21.5 | 22.8 |
| North America | 18.7 | 17.1 | 20.5 | 17.1 |
| Pacific | 24.4 | 22.6 | 24.4 | 21.8 |
| Bottling Investments | 5.0 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 3.8 |
| Corporate | (12.7) | (13.2) | (14.3) | (14.9) |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Information about our operating margin by operating segment is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 | July 3, 2009 | June 27, 2008 |
| Consolidated | 29.5% | 29.6% | 27.9% | 27.7% |
| Eurasia & Africa | 44.5 | 44.8 | 44.8 | 44.2 |
| Europe | 71.1 | 70.4 | 70.9 | 68.2 |
| Latin America | 54.8 | 59.2 | 54.8 | 59.6 |
| North America | 20.9 | 20.4 | 20.9 | 18.9 |
| Pacific | 48.1 | 49.2 | 46.0 | 46.4 |
| Bottling Investments | 5.5 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 3.7 |
| Corporate | * | * | * | * |

* Calculation is not meaningful.

As demonstrated by the tables above, the percentage contribution to operating income and operating margin by each operating segment fluctuated between the periods. Operating income and operating margin by operating segment were influenced by a variety of factors and events, including the following:

- In the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009, foreign currency exchange rates unfavorably impacted consolidated operating income by approximately 15 percent and 16 percent, respectively. The unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates was primarily due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to most foreign currencies, including the euro, Brazilian real, Mexican peso, British pound, Australian dollar and South African rand, which had an unfavorable impact on the Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America, Pacific and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the aforementioned currencies was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the Japanese yen, which had a favorable

impact on the Pacific operating segment. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position — Foreign Exchange."

- In the second quarter of 2009, operating income was unfavorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by approximately 18 percent for Eurasia and Africa, 15 percent for Europe, 23 percent for Latin America, 1 percent for North America, 22 percent for Bottling Investments and 7 percent for Corporate. During the same period, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates had a net zero percent impact on operating income for Pacific.
- In the six months ended July 3, 2009, operating income was unfavorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by approximately 22 percent for Eurasia and Africa, 15 percent for Europe, 24 percent for Latin America, 1 percent for North America, 28 percent for Bottling Investments and 9 percent for Corporate. During the same period, operating income was favorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by approximately 2 percent for Pacific.
- In the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009, our operating margin was favorably impacted by the sale of certain bottling operations during 2008, including Remil and a portion of our ownership interest in Coca-Cola Pakistan, which resulted in its deconsolidation. Generally, bottling and finished product operations produce higher net revenues but lower operating margins compared to concentrate and syrup operations.
- In the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009, increases in the cost of raw materials and product mix, primarily as a result of finished goods businesses, adversely impacted Latin America's operating income and operating margin.
- In the second quarter of 2009, operating income was reduced by approximately \$3 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$1 million for Europe, \$8 million for North America, \$26 million for Bottling Investments and \$34 million for Corporate, primarily as a result of restructuring costs, an asset impairment and productivity initiatives.
- In the six months ended July 3, 2009, operating income was reduced by approximately \$3 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$1 million for Europe, \$13 million for North America, \$91 million for Bottling Investments and \$56 million for Corporate, primarily as a result of restructuring costs, asset impairments and productivity initiatives.
- In the second quarter of 2008, operating income was reduced by approximately \$4 million for North America, \$9 million for Bottling Investments and \$97 million for Corporate, primarily attributable to restructuring costs, contract termination fees, asset impairments and productivity initiatives.
- In the six months ended June 27, 2008, operating income was reduced by approximately \$6 million for North America, \$13 million for Bottling Investments and \$176 million for Corporate, primarily attributable to restructuring costs, contract termination fees, asset impairments and productivity initiatives.

Interest Income

Interest income decreased \$12 million in the second quarter of 2009 and \$17 million in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The decreases for the quarter and six months ended July 3, 2009, were primarily due to lower interest rates, partially offset by the impact of higher short-term investment balances.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$8 million in the second quarter of 2009 and decreased \$24 million in the six months ended July 3, 2009, versus the comparable periods of the prior year. The current quarter increase was primarily due to replacing a certain amount of commercial paper and short-term debt with longer-term debt in the first quarter of 2009, partially offset by lower interest rates on short-term debt. The decrease for the six months ended July 3, 2009, was primarily due to lower interest rates on short-term debt, partially offset by the issuance of debt in the first quarter of 2009. The second quarter of 2008 and the six months ended June 27, 2008, also included the impact of the reclassification of approximately \$17 million of previously unrecognized gains on interest rate locks from AOCI to interest expense.

We anticipate that our 2009 full year interest expense will increase compared to our full year 2008 interest expense, primarily due to replacing a certain amount of commercial paper and short-term debt with longer-term debt in the first quarter of 2009, partially offset by lower interest rates on short-term debt. The Company issued long-term notes in the principal amounts of \$900 million at a rate of 3.625 percent and \$1,350 million at a rate of 4.875 percent due March 15, 2014, and March 15, 2019, respectively. The issuance of the long-term debt was based on the Company's review of our optimal mix of short-term and long-term debt. The interest rates on the long-term notes are higher than the interest rates on our short-term debt. In addition to the impact of issuing long-term notes, the Company will also reclassify deferred losses on interest rate locks from AOCI to interest expense over approximately the next five years.

Equity Income (Loss) — Net

Equity income (loss) — net represents our Company's proportionate share of net income or loss from each of our equity method investments. In the second quarter of 2009, equity income was \$310 million, compared to an equity loss of \$843 million in the second quarter of 2008, an increase of \$1,153 million. In the six months ended July 3, 2009, equity income was \$327 million, compared to an equity loss of \$706 million in the six months ended June 27, 2008, an increase of \$1,033 million. The increases in equity income in the second quarter of 2009 and six months ended July 3, 2009, were primarily related to our proportionate share of a \$5.3 billion pretax (\$3.4 billion after-tax) charge recorded by CCE in the second quarter of 2008. The impairment charge recorded by CCE was due to an impairment of its North American franchise rights. The Company's proportionate share of this charge was approximately \$1.1 billion, which was recorded in the second quarter of 2008. Refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Equity income in the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009, was also impacted by our proportionate share of increased net income from certain of our equity method investees, partially offset by the unfavorable impact of foreign exchange fluctuations.

Other Income (Loss) — Net

Other income (loss) — net includes, among other things, the impact of foreign exchange gains and losses; dividend income; rental income; gains and losses related to the disposal of property, plant and equipment; realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities; realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities; other-than-temporary impairments of available-for-sale securities; and the accretion of expenses related to certain acquisitions.

In the second quarter of 2009, other income (loss) — net was income of \$20 million, primarily related to dividend income, gains on the sale of certain investments and unrealized gains on trading securities. None of these items was individually significant. Other income (loss) — net also included approximately \$3 million in foreign currency exchange losses in the second quarter of 2009, primarily as a result of the remeasurement of monetary assets and liabilities from certain currencies into functional

currencies. The effect of the remeasurement of these assets and liabilities was partially offset by the impact of our economic hedging program for certain exposures on our consolidated balance sheets. Refer to Note G of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In the six months ended July 3, 2009, other income (loss) — net was a loss of \$20 million. In addition to the items recorded in the second quarter of 2009, the Company recognized an other-than-temporary impairment charge of approximately \$27 million on a cost method investment. Refer to the heading "Recoverability of Noncurrent Assets — Investments in Equity and Debt Securities" and Note H and Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Other income (loss) — net also included approximately \$15 million in foreign currency exchange losses during the first quarter of 2009.

In the second quarter of 2008 and in the six months ended June 27, 2008, other income (loss) — net was income of \$101 million, primarily due to gains on divestitures of approximately \$102 million, primarily related to the sale of Remil to Coca-Cola FEMSA. Refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate reflects tax benefits derived from significant operations outside the United States, which are generally taxed at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent. A change in the mix of pretax income from these various tax jurisdictions can have a significant impact on the Company's periodic effective tax rate.

Second Quarter of 2009 versus Second Quarter of 2008

Our effective tax rate was 24.9 percent in the second quarter of 2009, compared to 24.7 percent in the second quarter of 2008. In addition to changes in pretax income among the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, discrete items affected our tax rate.

In the second quarter of 2009, our effective tax rate included the following:

- an approximate 14 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring charges, an asset impairment charge and productivity initiatives recorded by the Company (refer to Note K and Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- an approximate 20 percent combined effective tax rate on our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees (refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- a net tax charge of approximately \$33 million related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties (refer to Note L of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

In the second quarter of 2008, our effective tax rate included the following:

- an approximate 22 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring charges, contract termination costs, productivity initiatives and asset impairments recorded by the Company (refer to Note K and Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- an approximate 20 percent combined effective tax rate on our proportionate share of impairment and restructuring charges recorded by CCE (refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- an approximate 31 percent combined effective tax rate on gains from divestitures (refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- a net tax charge of approximately \$29 million related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties (refer to Note L of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Our effective tax rate was 25.0 percent in the six months ended July 3, 2009, compared to 23.8 percent in the six months ended June 27, 2008. In addition to changes in pretax income among the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, discrete items affected our tax rate.

In the six months ended July 3, 2009, in addition to the second quarter items stated above, our effective tax rate included the following:

- an approximate 10 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring charges, an asset impairment charge and productivity initiatives recorded by the Company (refer to Note K and Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- a zero percent effective tax rate on an other-than-temporary impairment of a cost method investment recorded by the Company (refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- an approximate 25 percent combined effective tax rate on our proportionate share of asset impairment and restructuring charges recorded by equity method investees (refer to Note K of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- a tax charge of approximately \$15 million related to the recognition of a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets (refer to Note L of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- a net tax benefit of approximately \$1 million related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties (refer to Note L of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

In the six months ended June 27, 2008, in addition to the second quarter items stated above, our effective tax rate included the following:

- an approximate 18 percent combined effective tax rate on restructuring charges, asset impairments and productivity initiatives recorded by the Company (refer to Note K and Note M of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements);
- a net tax charge of approximately \$14 million related to the Company's proportionate share of a tax benefit, restructuring charges and asset impairments recorded by equity method investees (refer to Note L of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements); and
- a net tax charge of approximately \$2 million related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions under Interpretation No. 48, including interest and penalties (refer to Note L of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Based on current tax laws, the Company's effective tax rate on operations for 2009 is expected to be approximately 23.5 percent before considering the effect of any discrete items that may affect our tax rate. The Company's estimated effective tax rate reflects, among other items, our best estimates of 2009 operating results and foreign currency exchange rates. If actual results are different than these estimates, the underlying effective tax rate could change.

LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES AND FINANCIAL POSITION

We believe our ability to generate cash from operating activities is one of our fundamental financial strengths. The near-term outlook for our business remains strong, and we expect to generate substantial cash flows from operations in 2009. As a result of our expected strong cash flows from operations, we have significant flexibility to meet our financial commitments. We typically fund a significant portion of our dividends, capital expenditures, contractual obligations, share repurchases and acquisitions with cash generated from operating activities. We rely on external funding for additional cash requirements. The Company does not typically raise capital through the issuance of stock, instead, we use debt financing to lower our overall cost of capital and increase our return on shareowners' equity. Refer to the heading "Cash Flows from Financing Activities," below. Our debt financing includes the use of an extensive commercial paper program as part of our overall cash management strategy. Despite the difficult credit market conditions, our liquidity remains strong, and our commercial paper program continues to function each day. We are able to access 60- to 90-day terms and have not had a material change to our spreads to benchmark rates; however, there is no assurance that this will not change in the future. The Company reviews its optimal mix of short-term and long-term debt regularly. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company elected to replace a certain amount of commercial paper and short-term debt with longer-term debt, which resulted in the Company issuing long-term notes in the principal amounts of \$900 million at a rate of 3.625 percent and \$1,350 million at a rate of 4.875 percent due March 15, 2014, and March 15, 2019, respectively. The Company continues to review its optimal mix of short-term and long-term debt and may replace a certain amount of commercial paper and short-term debt with longer-term debt in the future.

On September 3, 2008, we announced our intention to make cash offers to purchase China Huiyuan Juice Group Limited, a Hong Kong listed company which owns the Huiyuan juice business throughout China ("Huiyuan"). The Company had accepted irrevocable undertakings from three shareholders for acceptance of the offers, in aggregate representing approximately 66 percent of the Huiyuan shares. The making of the offers was subject to preconditions relating to Chinese regulatory approvals. On March 18, 2009, the Chinese Ministry of Commerce declined approval for the Company's proposed purchase of Huiyuan. Consequently, the Company was unable to proceed with the proposed cash offers, and the irrevocable undertakings terminated.

The significant decline in the equity markets precipitated by the recent credit crisis and financial system instability has negatively affected the value of our pension plan assets. As a result of the decline in fair value of our pension plan assets, we contributed approximately \$239 million to our pension plans during the six months ended July 3, 2009, of which approximately \$175 million was allocated to our primary U.S. plan. We anticipate making additional contributions of approximately \$30 million to our global pension plans during the remainder of 2009. Refer to Note J of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The majority of the Company's cash is held by our international subsidiaries. We have reviewed our contingency plans and would be able to access much of the cash held by our international subsidiaries on short notice. Our approximately \$7.6 billion cash balance as of July 3, 2009, is available and held in liquid, high-quality cash equivalent investments. However, in the event that we require the use of cash held by our international subsidiaries for an extended period of time in the United States, we would be required to treat the cash as having been repatriated and we would incur significant tax liabilities.

In addition to the Company's cash balances and commercial paper program, we also maintain approximately \$2.6 billion of committed, currently unused credit facilities from our network of relationship banks. These backup lines of credit expire at various times from 2009 through 2012. We have evaluated the financial stability of each bank and believe we can access the funds, if needed.

Based on all of these factors, the Company believes its current liquidity position is strong, and we will continue to meet all of our financial commitments for the foreseeable future.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended July 3, 2009, and June 27, 2008, was approximately \$3,662 million and \$3,218 million, respectively. The increase of \$444 million, or 14 percent, from operating activities was primarily attributable to an increase in cash collections from customers; lower tax payments; and decreased payments to suppliers and vendors, which were partially offset by increased contributions to our pension plans. The decline in payments to suppliers and vendors was primarily attributable to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by higher sales volume. The increase in contributions to our pension plans was primarily due to the decline in fair value of our pension plan assets. The Company contributed approximately \$239 million to our pension plans during the six months ended July 3, 2009, compared to approximately \$27 million during the six months ended June 27, 2008.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in the six months ended July 3, 2009, and the six months ended June 27, 2008, was approximately \$1,164 million and \$1,234 million, respectively.

Net cash used in investing activities in the six months ended July 3, 2009, included acquisitions and investments of \$248 million, none of which was individually significant. Refer to Note N of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In the six months ended July 3, 2009, cash outflows for investing activities also included purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$980 million. Our Company currently estimates that net purchases of property, plant and equipment in 2009 will be approximately \$2.0 billion to \$2.2 billion, including purchases of property, plant and equipment for entities consolidated under Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities."

Net cash used in investing activities in the six months ended June 27, 2008, included acquisitions and investments of \$621 million, including the acquisition of brands and licenses in Denmark and Finland from Carlsberg for approximately \$225 million. None of the other acquisitions or investments was individually significant. In the six months ended June 27, 2008, cash outflows for investing activities also included purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$896 million. Cash used in investing activities was partially offset by proceeds of approximately \$275 million, net of the cash balance as of the disposal date, related to the sale of Remil to Coca-Cola FEMSA.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Our financing activities include net borrowings, share issuances and share repurchases. Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$142 million for the six months ended July 3, 2009, and \$383 million for the six months ended June 27, 2008, a decrease of \$241 million.

In the six months ended July 3, 2009, the Company had issuances of debt of approximately \$8,058 million and payments of debt of \$6,087 million. The issuances of debt in the six months ended July 3, 2009, included approximately \$5,817 million of issuances of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days, as well as \$900 million and \$1,350 million of long-term debt due March 15, 2014, and March 15, 2019, respectively. The payments of debt in the six months ended July 3, 2009, included \$1,764 million of net payments of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities of 90 days or less; \$3,890 million related to commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days; and \$433 million related to long-term debt.

In the six months ended June 27, 2008, the Company had issuances of debt of \$4,317 million and payments of debt of \$2,478 million. The issuances of debt in the six months ended June 27, 2008, included approximately \$2,509 million of net issuances of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities of 90 days or less, and approximately \$1,748 million of issuances of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days. The payments of debt in the six months ended June 27, 2008, included approximately \$2,437 million related to commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days.

In the six months ended July 3, 2009, the Company did not repurchase common stock under stock repurchase plans authorized by our Board of Directors. In the six months ended June 27, 2008, the Company repurchased approximately 18.0 million shares of common stock at an average cost of \$58.17 per share. The cost to purchase these shares of common stock for treasury in the six months ended June 27, 2008, was approximately \$1,049 million. The total cash outflow for treasury stock purchases in the six months ended July 3, 2009, and in the six months ended June 27, 2008, was approximately \$4 million and \$1,031 million, respectively. Although the Company did not repurchase common stock under stock repurchase plans authorized by our Board of Directors in the six months ended July 3, 2009, there was a cash flow impact from shares surrendered to the Company to pay the exercise price and/or to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with so-called stock swap exercises of employee stock options and/or the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees. The total cash outflow in the six months ended June 27, 2008, included stock purchased in that period, as well as certain December 2007 treasury stock purchases that settled in early 2008. The Company curtailed its share repurchase program during the fourth quarter of 2008, primarily due to the then-anticipated acquisition of Huiyuan. As a result of the Chinese Ministry of Commerce declining approval for the Company's proposed purchase of Huiyuan during the first quarter of 2009, we have decided to reinstitute our share repurchase program. We currently expect to repurchase up to \$1.0 billion of our stock during the remainder of 2009.

The Company paid dividends of approximately \$1,899 million and \$884 million in the six months ended July 3, 2009, and June 27, 2008, respectively. The difference is primarily due to the timing of our second quarter 2009 close, in which the Company paid dividends of approximately \$950 million. The Company paid only one quarterly dividend in the first six months of 2008, due to the timing of our second quarter 2008 close.

Foreign Exchange

Our international operations are subject to certain opportunities and risks, including currency fluctuations and governmental actions. We closely monitor our operations in each country and seek to adopt appropriate strategies that are responsive to changing economic and political environments, and to fluctuations in foreign currencies.

Our Company conducts business in more than 200 countries. Due to our global operations, weaknesses in currencies of some of these countries are often offset by strengths in others. Our foreign currency management program is designed to mitigate, over time, a portion of the potentially unfavorable impact of exchange rate changes on net income and earnings per share. Taking into account the effects of our hedging activities, the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates decreased our reported operating income in the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended July 3, 2009, by approximately 15 percent and 16 percent, respectively, versus the comparable periods in the prior year. Based on the anticipated benefits of the hedging coverage that is in place and currently forecasted foreign currency exchange rates, the Company expects fluctuations in foreign currencies to have an estimated 12 percent to 14 percent negative impact on operating income in the third quarter of 2009 and a low single-digit negative impact on operating income in the fourth quarter of 2009.

The Company will continue to manage its foreign currency exposures to mitigate, over time, a portion of the impact of exchange rate changes on net income and earnings per share.

Financial Position

Our balance sheet as of July 3, 2009, compared to our balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, was impacted by the following:

- an increase in net assets of \$899 million resulting from translation adjustments in various balance sheet accounts;
- an increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$2,946 million, primarily in anticipation of the acquisition of Huiyuan. However, as a result of the Chinese Ministry of Commerce declining approval for the Company's proposed purchase of Huiyuan during the first quarter of 2009, we have decided to reinstitute our share repurchase program. We currently expect to repurchase up to \$1.0 billion of our stock during the remainder of 2009; and
- an increase in long-term debt of \$2,236 million due to the issuance of long-term debt in the first quarter of 2009.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have no material changes to the disclosure on this matter made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of July 3, 2009. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended July 3, 2009, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding reportable legal proceedings is contained in Part I, "Item 3. Legal Proceedings" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table presents information with respect to purchases of common stock of the Company made during the three months ended July 3, 2009, by The Coca-Cola Company or any "affiliated purchaser" of The Coca-Cola Company as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ¹ | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ² | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Publicly Announced Plans or Programs |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| April 4, 2009 through May 1, 2009 | 675 | \$ 44.05 | — | 220,513,941 |
| May 2, 2009 through May 29, 2009 | 855 | 45.05 | — | 220,513,941 |
| May 30, 2009 through July 3, 2009 | 85,971 | 42.92 | — | 220,513,941 |
| Total | 87,501 | \$ 42.95 | — | |

¹ The total number of shares purchased includes: (i) shares purchased pursuant to the 2006 Plan described in footnote 2 below, of which there were none for the periods indicated in the table; and (ii) shares surrendered to the Company to pay the exercise price and/or to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with so-called stock swap exercises of employee stock options and/or the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees, totaling 675 shares, 855 shares and 85,971 shares for the fiscal months of April, May and June 2009, respectively.

² On July 20, 2006, we publicly announced that our Board of Directors had authorized a plan (the "2006 Plan") for the Company to purchase up to 300 million shares of our Company's common stock. This column discloses the number of shares purchased pursuant to the 2006 Plan during the indicated time periods.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company's Annual Meeting of Shareowners was held on Wednesday, April 22, 2009, in Duluth, Georgia, at which the following matters were submitted to a vote of the shareowners:

- (a) Votes regarding the election of the persons named below as Directors for a term expiring in 2010 were as follows:

| | FOR | AGAINST | ABSTENTIONS |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Herbert A. Allen | 1,845,089,069 | 111,585,135 | 5,239,077 |
| Ronald W. Allen | 1,563,647,195 | 323,550,489 | 74,715,597 |
| Cathleen P. Black | 1,512,659,582 | 375,104,458 | 74,149,241 |
| Barry Diller | 1,551,020,926 | 405,181,987 | 5,710,368 |
| Alexis M. Herman | 1,559,577,664 | 328,264,239 | 74,071,378 |
| Muhtar Kent | 1,912,926,543 | 43,764,661 | 5,222,077 |
| Donald R. Keough | 1,921,081,248 | 35,792,718 | 5,039,315 |
| Maria Elena Lagomasino | 1,569,095,965 | 318,939,188 | 73,878,128 |
| Donald F. McHenry | 1,913,940,027 | 41,413,903 | 6,559,351 |
| Sam Nunn | 1,897,991,696 | 59,318,183 | 4,603,402 |
| James D. Robinson III | 1,479,068,883 | 408,601,367 | 74,243,031 |
| Peter V. Ueberroth | 1,596,782,416 | 359,538,362 | 5,592,503 |
| Jacob Wallenberg | 1,867,301,963 | 88,932,660 | 5,678,658 |
| James B. Williams | 1,844,947,897 | 110,807,910 | 6,157,474 |

- (b) Votes regarding ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors of the Company to serve for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, were as follows:

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTENTIONS | BROKER NON-VOTES |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1,936,462,371 | 22,041,410 | 3,409,500 | — |

- (c) Votes on a shareowner proposal regarding an advisory vote on executive compensation were as follows:

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTENTIONS | BROKER NON-VOTES |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 576,647,597 | 1,010,852,460 | 73,275,018 | 301,138,206 |

- (d) Votes on a shareowner proposal regarding an independent Board chair were as follows:

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTENTIONS | BROKER NON-VOTES |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 476,152,183 | 1,175,976,426 | 8,650,966 | 301,133,706 |

- (e) Votes on a shareowner proposal regarding a committee on human rights were as follows:

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTENTIONS | BROKER NON-VOTES |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 58,513,021 | 1,465,209,715 | 137,057,239 | 301,133,306 |

- (f) Votes on a shareowner proposal regarding restricted stock were as follows:

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTENTIONS | BROKER NON-VOTES |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 170,702,446 | 1,456,560,706 | 33,516,123 | 301,134,006 |

Item 6. Exhibits

In reviewing the agreements included as exhibits to this report, please remember they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about the Company or the other parties to the agreements. The agreements contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

- should not in all instances be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;
- may have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;
- may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and
- were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time. Additional information about the Company may be found elsewhere in this report and the Company's other public filings, which are available without charge through the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Exhibit Description
No.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, including Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, effective May 1, 1996 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1996. |
| 3.2 | By-Laws of the Company, as amended and restated through April 17, 2008 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2008. |
| 4.1 | The Company agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, a copy of any instrument defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company and all of its consolidated subsidiaries and unconsolidated subsidiaries for which financial statements are required to be filed with the SEC. |
| 4.2 | Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 26, 1988 between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993. |
| 4.3 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 24, 1992 to Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 26, 1988 between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993. |

- 4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 1, 2007 to Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 26, 1988, as amended, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.5 Form of Note for 5.350% Notes due November 15, 2017 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2007.
- 4.6 Form of Note for 3.625% Notes due March 15, 2014 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.7 Form of Note for 4.875% Notes due March 15, 2019 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 32.1 Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. Section 1350), executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company, and by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 101 The following financial information from The Coca-Cola Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 2009, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009 and June 27, 2008, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at July 3, 2009 and December 31, 2008, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended July 3, 2009 and June 27, 2008, and (iv) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**THE COCA-COLA COMPANY
(REGISTRANT)**

Date: July 30, 2009

/s/ HARRY L. ANDERSON

Harry L. Anderson
Senior Vice President and Controller
(On behalf of the Registrant and
as Chief Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No. Description

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, including Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, effective May 1, 1996 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1996.
- 3.2 By-Laws of the Company, as amended and restated through April 17, 2008 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2008.
- 4.1 The Company agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, a copy of any instrument defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company and all of its consolidated subsidiaries and unconsolidated subsidiaries for which financial statements are required to be filed with the SEC.
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 26, 1988 between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993.
- 4.3 First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 24, 1992 to Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 26, 1988 between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993.
- 4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 1, 2007 to Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 26, 1988, as amended, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.5 Form of Note for 5.350% Notes due November 15, 2017 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2007.
- 4.6 Form of Note for 3.625% Notes due March 15, 2014 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.7 Form of Note for 4.875% Notes due March 15, 2019 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 32.1 Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. Section 1350), executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company, and by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.

101 The following financial information from The Coca-Cola Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 2009, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended July 3, 2009 and June 27, 2008, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at July 3, 2009 and December 31, 2008, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended July 3, 2009 and June 27, 2008, and (iv) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

| | Six Months Ended July 3, 2009 | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| (In millions except ratios) | | | | | | |
| EARNINGS: | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes and changes in accounting principles | \$ 4,543 | \$ 7,506 | \$ 7,942 | \$ 6,628 | \$ 6,723 | \$ 6,238 |
| Fixed charges | 219 | 513 | 524 | 271 | 281 | 232 |
| Less: | | | | | | |
| Capitalized interest, net | (2) | (7) | (12) | (10) | (3) | (1) |
| Equity (income) loss, net of dividends | (191) | 1,128 | (452) | 124 | (446) | (476) |
| Adjusted earnings | \$ 4,569 | \$ 9,140 | \$ 8,002 | \$ 7,013 | \$ 6,555 | \$ 5,993 |
| FIXED CHARGES: | | | | | | |
| Gross interest incurred | \$ 184 | \$ 445 | \$ 468 | \$ 230 | \$ 243 | \$ 197 |
| Interest portion of rent expense | 35 | 68 | 56 | 41 | 38 | 35 |
| Total fixed charges | \$ 219 | \$ 513 | \$ 524 | \$ 271 | \$ 281 | \$ 232 |
| Ratios of earnings to fixed charges | 20.9 | 17.8 | 15.3 | 25.9 | 23.3 | 25.8 |

As of July 3, 2009, the Company was contingently liable for guarantees of indebtedness owed by third parties in the amount of approximately \$247 million. Fixed charges for these contingent liabilities have not been included in the computation of the above ratios, as the amounts are immaterial and, in the opinion of management, it is not probable that the Company will be required to satisfy the guarantees. The interest amount, in the above table, does not include interest expense associated with unrecognized tax benefits.

QuickLinks

[Exhibit 12.1](#)

[THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES](#)

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of The Coca-Cola Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 30, 2009

/s/ MUHTAR KENT

Muhtar Kent
*Chairman of the Board of Directors,
Chief Executive Officer and President*

QuickLinks

[Exhibit 31.1](#)

[CERTIFICATIONS](#)

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of The Coca-Cola Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 30, 2009

/s/ GARY P. FAYARD

Gary P. Fayard
*Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer*

QuickLinks

[Exhibit 31.2](#)

[CERTIFICATIONS](#)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report of The Coca-Cola Company (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 3, 2009 (the "Report"), I, Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company and I, Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, each certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) to my knowledge, the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ MUHTAR KENT

Muhtar Kent
*Chairman of the Board of Directors,
Chief Executive Officer and President*
July 30, 2009

/s/ GARY P. FAYARD

Gary P. Fayard
*Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer*
July 30, 2009

QuickLinks

[Exhibit 32.1](#)